DLN: 93493044004570

Form **990**

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

2008

2000

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements

Open to Public Inspection

A F-		2000			di 06 30 300				•	
			endar yea	r, or tax year beginning 07-01-2008 and C Name of organization	ending 06-30-200	9		D Employer ide	ntification number	
_	eck if ap dress cha	•	Please use IRS	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE				52-174433	7	
_		-	label or	Doing Business As				E Telephone nu		
_	me char	_	print or type. See					(703) 682-9	320	
Inı	tıal retur	'n	Specific Instruc-	Number and street (or P O box if mail is not de	livered to street addre	ss) Room	/suite	G Gross receipt		
Te	minatio	n	tions.	901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD					4 = 1,000,000	
- An	nended r	eturn		City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4						
— _{Ар}	plication	pending		ARLINGTON, VA 22203						
			E Nan	ne and address of Principal Officer		l 117-3				
				M H MELLOR			is thi: affiliai	s a group return	for	
				ORTH GLEBE RD					,,	
				GTON, VA 22203		Н(Ь)	Are all	affiliates include	d?	
[18	ix-exem	pt status	501(c)	(3) ◀ (insert no)		1			See instructions)	
ı w	eb site	e: ► WW	WIJORG			H(c)	Grou	p Exemption Nur	nber 🟲	
			_			<u> </u>				
∢ Тур	e of org	anızatıon	✓ Corporat	on Trust association other 🟲		L Yea	r of For	mation 1991 M 9	State of legal domicile DC	
Pa	rt I	Sumn	nary							
	1	Briefly d	escribe th	e organization's mission or most significar	nt activities					
υ.		TO PRO	TECT THE	CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF AMERI	ICANS					
2										
₹										
aovemanice	2	Check tl	nis box 🗀	if the organization discontinued its operat	ions or disposed o	f more t	han 2	5% of its assets		
5			of voting r		10					
			-	dent voting members of the governing bod	•			_	9	
<u>S</u>	1			pployees (Part V, line 2a)		.,	-	5	84	
Ĕ				lunteers (estimate if necessary)				6	25	
ACIIVIIIES &				ted business revenue from Part VIII, line				7a	0	
•	1			ness taxable income from Form 990-T, lir		•		78 _ 7b	0	
	"	Tree dille		mess taxable income from Form 556 T, in	10 54 1 1		Dric	r Year	Current Year	
		C + h		I amanta (Dant)(III luna 1 h)			PHO			
<u>a</u>	8			I grants (Part VIII, line 1h)			8,986,386	15,666,509		
ē	9	-		revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)			544,041	716,558		
Ravenue	10			ne (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 1			374,355	-6,190,699		
_	11		-	art VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 1			108,176	-29,170		
	12	10taire	evenue—a	dd lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VI	е		10,012,958	10,163,198		
	13		and simila	r amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines			0	C		
	14			r for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4					C	
	15		•	empensation, employee benefits (Part IX,		5 -				
\$		10)	-,				5,488,518	6,099,486		
<u>e</u>	16a	Profess	sional fund	raısıng fees (Part IX, column (A), lıne 11e	·)		17,022 13			
Expenses	b	(Total fu	ndraising exi	penses, Part IX, column (D), line 25 739,063						
ш	17	•		Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-	24f)	3,440,502 3,393,81				
	18			add lines 13–17 (must equal Part IX, line				8,946,042	9,506,719	
	19			enses Subtract line 18 from line 12	, (-,,			1,066,916	656,479	
<u>200</u>	1					R ₄	eginni	ng of Year	End of Year	
	30	T - 4 - 1	00st- /D-	t V line 16)			-9·11111			
## 1200	20		•	t X, line 16)				16,543,116	17,239,536	
net Assers or Fund Bałances	21		-	art X, line 26)				478,735	502,043	
	22			d balances Subtract line 21 from line 20				16,064,381	16,737,493	
Pa	rt II	Signa	ature Blo	ock						
				rjury, I declare that I have examined this return, in						
Plea		l.		correct, and complete Declaration of preparer (other	er chan officer) is base	u vii dii iN	l		i назану кноwledge	
Sign		*****	** ture of office	r	02-13					
ler					Date					
			AM H MELLO or print nam	R PRESIDENT e and title						
		F '', PE	Print Haili		<u> </u>	Check If		T		
		ricpaici 3 L						Preparer's PTIN (See Gen Inst)	
Paid		signature	F			self- empolyed	• [
	arer's		me (or yours	RUBINO & MCGEEHIN CHARTERED				EIN Þ		
Jse	Only	ıf self-em address,	iployed), and ZIP + 4	6903 ROCKLEDGE DRIVE SUITE 1200				LIIV F		
								Phone no 🕨 (30	1) 564-3636	
	the ID	S diecus	e this retu	BETHESDA, MD 20817 rn with the preparer shown above? (See in:	structions\			1	✓ Yes No	
ıay	THE TK:	ン uioにUS	o uno retu	iii wilii liie piepalel sliuwii abover (See In:	3 CTUCLIONS)				1. 162 I NO	

Part III Statement of Program Service Accomplishments (See the instructions.)

al program service expenses	5 \$ 7,562,829	9 Must equal Part IX, L	ine 25. column (B).	
er program services (Descr penses \$	ibe in Schedule O) including grants of	· \$) (Revenue \$)
de) (Expen	ses \$	including grants of \$) (Revenue \$)
de) (Expen	ises \$	including grants of \$) (Revenue \$)
le) (Expen PROTECT THE CONSTITUTIONAL RI ATIONS AND OUTREACH EVENTS, T	GHTS OF AMERICANS THROUG) (Revenue \$ E PUBLIC ABOUT ISSUES VITAL TO LIB TIES	716,558) ERTY THROUGH MEDIA
ion 501(c)(3) and (4) organings, the total expenses, and re	zations and 4947 (a)(1) t evenue, if any, for each pr	rusts are required to re rogram service reported		allocations to
es," describe these changes	on Schedule O		,	,
he organization cease conduces?	icting or make significant	changes in how it cond	lucts any program	Yes ✓ No
es," describe these new serv			,	res (* No
		rvices during the year v	which were not listed on	Yes ▼ No
al Data Table	IIIII			
al h	Data Table e organization undertake ai	Data Table	Data Table e organization undertake any significant program services during the year w	e organization undertake any significant program services during the year which were not listed on

Form 990, Part III, Line 1 - Briefly describe the organization's mission:

TO ADVANCE A RULE OF LAW IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS CAN CONTROL THEIR DESTINIES AS FREE AND RESPONSIBLE MEMBERS OF SOCIETY THROUGH STRATEGIC LITIGATION, TRAINING, COMMUNICATION AND OUTREACH, AND TO TRAIN LAW STUDENTS, LAWYERS AND POLICY ACTIVISTS IN THE TACTICS OF PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION. THROUGH THESE ACTIVITIES THE INSTITUTE CHALLENGES THE IDEOLOGY OF THE WELFARE STATE AND ILLUSTRATES AND EXTENDS THE BENEFITS OF FREEDOM TO THOSE WHOSE FULL ENJOYMENT OF LIBERTY IS DENIED BY THE GOVERNMENT.

art IV	Check	dist of	Required	Schedules
	CHECK	VIISL OI	<u>Reduired</u>	Schedules

			Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? <i>If "Yes,"</i> complete Schedule A	1	Yes	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors? 🕏	2	Yes	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		N o
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations Did the organization engage in lobbying activities? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4	Yes	
5	Section $501(c)(4)$, $501(c)(5)$, and $501(c)(6)$ organizations. Is the organization subject to the section $6033(e)$ notice and reporting requirement and proxy tax? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5		
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any accounts where donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I.	6		No
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		No
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III	8		Νο
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X, or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes,"	9		N o
	complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		
10 11	Did the organization hold assets in term, permanent, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V Did the organization report an amount in Part X, lines 10, 12, 13, 15, or 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D,	10		N o
11	Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable	11	Yes	
12	Did the organization receive an audited financial statement for the year for which it is completing this return that was prepared in accordance with GAAP? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII .	12	Yes	
13	Is the organization a school as described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		Νο
14a	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the U S ?	14a		No
b	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business, and program service activities outside the U S ? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part I	14b		N o
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or assistance to any organization or entity located outside the United States? <i>If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part II</i>	15		N o
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or assistance to individuals located outside the United States? <i>If</i> "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part III	16		N o
17	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 on Part IX, column (A), line 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I	17		N o
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		N o
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19		Νο
20	Did the organization operate one or more hospitals? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20		Νo
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21		No
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		Νο
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, questions 3, 4, or 5? If "Yes," complete Schedule J	23	Yes	
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer questions 24b-24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to question 25	24a		No
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
c	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
25a	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		N o
Ь	Did the organization become aware that it had engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person from a prior year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25b		No
26	Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highly compensated employee, or disqualified person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26		No
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, or substantial contributor, or to a person related to such an individual? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		No

Part VI

Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules (Continued)

			Yes	No
28	During the tax year, did any person who is a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee			
а	Have a direct business relationship with the organization (other than as an officer, director, trustee, or employee), or an indirect business relationship through ownership of more than 35% in another entity (individually or collectively with other person(s) listed in Part VII, Section A)? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a	Yes	
b	Have a family member who had a direct or indirect business relationship with the organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b	Yes	
c	Serve as an officer, director, trustee, key employee, partner, or member of an entity (or a shareholder of a professional corporation) doing business with the organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV.	28c		No
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M 🕏	29	Yes	
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		No
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	31		No
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? <i>If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part II</i>	32		No
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations section 301 7701-2 and 301 7701-3? <i>If</i> " <i>Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I</i>	33		Νo
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Parts II, III, IV, and V, line 1	34		Νo
35	Is any related organization a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	35		No
36	501(c)(3) organizations Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		No
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5 percent of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R,	37		No

Pai	rt V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliano	e				
					Yes	No
1a	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096, Annual Summary and Transmittal					
	of U.S. Information Returns. Enter -0- if not applicable					
		1a	36			
b	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a Enter -0- if not applicable	1b	o			
С	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments t	o ven	dors and reportable			
	gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?			1c	Yes	
2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax					
	Statements filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return	2a	84			
ь	If at least one is reported in 2a, did the organization file all required federal employr		l			
_	Note: If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file this			2b	Yes	
3a	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more durin return?	g the	year covered by this	3a		No
h	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Sch	edule :		3b		
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a s			- 50		
- 70	over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities ac account)?			4a		No
ь	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country					
	See the instructions for exceptions and filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22.1, Re Financial Accounts.	eport o	f Foreign Bank and			
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time duri	ng the	tax year?	5a		Νο
ь	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited	tax sh	nelter transaction?	5b		Νο
c	If "Yes," to 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T, Disclosure by Tax-Exemp	t Entil	ty Regarding Prohibited			
	Tax Shelter Transaction?	•	• • •	5с		
6a	Did the organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible?		6a		Νο	
b	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement the were not tax deductible?	6b				
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).					
а	Did the organization provide goods or services in exchange for any quid pro quo con more?	trıbut	ion of \$75 or	7a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services p	rovide	d?	7b	Yes	
С	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal prope	rty for	which it was required to			
	file Form 8282?			7c		No
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	7d				
e	Did the organization, during the year, receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay	/ prem	niums on a personal			
	benefit contract?			7e		Νο
f	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a pers	onal b	enefit contract?	7f		Νο
g	For all contributions of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8		ŀ	7g		
h	For contributions of cars, boats, airplanes, and other vehicles, did the organization f	ile a F	orm 1098-C as	76		
8	required?	· ·	ction F00(a)(2)	7h		
0	supporting organizations. Did the supporting organization, or a fund maintained by a sexcess business holdings at any time during the			8		
	year?		<u> </u> 		[
9	Section $501(c)(3)$ and other sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.					
а	Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	•		9a		
Ь	Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person	۰ ،		9b		
10	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter					
а	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12	10a				
Ь	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities	10b				
11	Section 501(c)(12) organizations Enter					
а	Gross income from members or shareholders	11a				
b	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against amounts due or received from them)	11b				
12a	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in	n lieu d	of Form 1041?	12a		
b	If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the	12b				
	year	LZD				

5

6

9b

10

11

Yes

Yes

Νo

Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure (Sections A, B, and C request information about policies not required by the Internal Revenue Code.)

b If "Yes," does the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters,

affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with those of the organization?

Was a copy of the Form 990 provided to the organization's governing body before it was filed? All organizations must describe in Schedule O the process, if any, the organization uses to review the Form 990

Is there any officer, director or trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at

the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O

Section A. Governing Body and Management Yes No For each "Yes" response to lines 2-7 below, and for a "No" response to lines 8 or 9b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions. Enter the number of voting members of the governing body . . 10 1b 9 **b** Enter the number of voting members that are independent . . Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any 2 Νo Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct 3 supervision of officers, directors or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? Νo Did the organization make any significant changes to its organizational documents since the prior Form 990 was 4 Νo 5 Did the organization become aware during the year of a material diversion of the organization's assets? . . . Νo 6 Νo Does the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who may elect one or more members of the 7a Nο **b** Are any decisions of the governing body subject to approval by members, stockholders, or other persons? . 7b Nο Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? 8h Yes Does the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates? Yes

Section B. Policies

			Yes	No
12a	Does the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No", go to line 13 \cdot .	12a	Yes	
b	Are officers, directors or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to conflicts?	12b	Yes	
c	Does the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe in Schedule O how this is done	12c	Yes	
13	Does the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	13	Yes	
14	Does the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	14	Yes	
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision			
а	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official?	15a	Yes	
b	Other officers or key employees of the organization?	15b	Yes	
	Describe the process in Schedule O			
16a	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a taxable entity during the year?	16a		Νο
b	If "Yes," has the organization adopted a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable Federal tax law, and taken steps to safeguard the			
	organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements?	16b		

Section C. Disclosure

- 17 List the States with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed AL,AK,AZ,CO,CT,DC,FL,KS,KY,ME,MD,MA,MI,MN,MS,NH,NJ,NM,NC,ND,OH,OK,OR,PA,RI,IN,UT,WA,WV,WI,NY,SC,VA,IL,MO
- Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Form 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (501(c) (3)s only) available for public inspection. Indicate how you make these available. Check all that apply website when we we were another's website.
- 19 Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization makes its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public See Additional Data Table
- State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and records of the organization STEVEN ANDERSON 901 NORTH GLEBE RD SUITE 900

ARLINGTON, VA 22203 (703) 682-9320

Part VII Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Section A Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Use Schedule J-2 if additional space is needed

 Γ Check this box if the organization did not compensate any officer, director, trustee or key employee

- * List all of the organization's **current** officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations) and key employees regardless of amount of compensation, and current key employees. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid
- * List the organization's five **current** highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations
- * List all of the organization's **former** officers, key employees, or highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations
- * List all of the organization's **former directors or trustees** that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations

List persons in the following order individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees, officers, key employees, highest compensated employees, and former such persons

		Posit	(C non (hat a	chec		I			(E)	(F)	
(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week	Individual Trustee or Director	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W- 2/1099MISC)	Reportable compensation from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)	Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations	

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 52-1744337

Name: INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Form 990, Part VII - Section Aaa

		Posit t	(C lon (hat a	chec		I			(E)	(F)	
(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week	Individual Trustee or Chrector	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W- 2/1099MISC)	Reportable compensation from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)	Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations	
WILLIAM H MELLOR , PRES & GENERAL COUNSEL	40 00	Х		х				428,473	0	60,081	
DEBORAH SIMPSON , MANAGING VP & SECRETARY	40 00	Х		х				152,060	0	22,334	
BRIAN MONTGOMERY , CFO/TREAS- TERM 8/22/08	40 00	Х		х				97,418	0	21,704	
STEVEN ANDERSON , CFO & TREASURER	40 00	Х		х				104,422	0	15,389	
DAVID B KENNEDY , DIRECTOR & CHAIRMAN	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
ROBERT A LEVY , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
JAMES LINTOTT , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
GERRIT H WORMHOUDT , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
ARTHUR DANTCHIK , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
MARK BABUNOVIC , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
STEPHEN W MODZELEWSKI , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
ROBERT GELFOND , DIRECTOR	1 00	Х						0	0	0	
JOHN KRAMER , VP FOR COMMUNICATIONS	40 00				х			206,244	0	45,167	
BETH STEVENS , VP FOR DEVELOPMENT	40 00				х			135,340	0	23,044	
SCOTT BULLOCK , SR ATTORNEY	40 00					Х		170,410	0	29,221	
DANA BERLINER , SR ATTORNEY	40 00					Х		172,744	0	34,595	
CLARK NEILY , SR ATTORNEY	40 00					Х		163,467	0	27,883	
STEVE SIMPSON , SR ATTORNEY	40 00					Х		156,259	0	41,552	
ROBERT GALL , SR ATTORNEY	40 00					Х		126,137	0	21,225	

Part VII Continued

	(B) Average hours per week	(C) Position (check all that apply)							(E)	(F)
(A) Name and Title		Individual Trustee or Director	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W- 2/1099MISC)	Reportable compensation from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)	Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations
1b Total	· · <u>·</u>						Þ	1,912,974	t C	342,195
2 Total number of individuals (including	those in 1	a) who r	ecei	ved	mor	e thar	\$1	00,000 ın reportabl	e	

compensation from the organization►16

			Yes	No
3	Did the organization list any former officer, director or trustee, key employee, or highest compensated employee			
	on line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such individual	3		Νo
4	For any individual listed online 1a, is the sum of reportable compensation and other compensation from the organization and related organizations greater than \$150,000? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such			
	ındıvıdual	4	Yes	
5	Did any person listed on line 1a receive or accrue compensation from any unrelated organization for services			
	rendered to the organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such person	5		Νo

Section B. Independent Contractors

from the organization .

1 Complete this table for your five highest compensated independent contractors that received more than \$100,000 of compensation from the organization

(A) Name and business address	(B) Description of services	(C) Compensation				
VMW PRINTING INC 5207 MONROE PL HYATSVILLE, MD 20781	PRINTING	102,003				
2 Total number of independent contractors (including those in 1) who received more than \$100,000 in compensation						

Statement of Revenue

					(A) Total Revenue	(B) Related or Exempt Function Revenue	(C) Unrelated Business Revenue	(D) Revenue Excluded from Tax under IRC 512, 513, or 514
	1a	Federated campaig	gns 1 a	1		Revende		312, 313, 01 314
発発	ь	Membership dues						
필			1b					
ું."	С	Fundraising events	 1c					
慧	d							
<u>₹</u>	e	Government grants (co	ontributions) 1e					
vetion her si	f	sımılar amounts not ıncluded above ——		15,666,509				
Contributions, gifts, grants and other similar amounts	g	Noncash contribut						
ပ္က	h	Total (Add lines 1a			15,666,509			
			-	Business Code				
<u>a</u>	2a	ATTORNEY FEES		Busiliess Code	622,597	622 507		
e E		-				622,597		
æ	b	MISCELLANEOUS			54,698	54,698		
e Ç	C	HONORARIA			39,263	39,263		
<u>.</u> E	d							
ග	е							
Program Serwce Revenue	f	All other program :						
4	g	Total. Add lines 2a ► \$ 716,558	i-2f					
	3	Investment incom	e (ıncludıng dıvı	dends, ınterest				
		other sımılar amou	ints)		251,217			251,217
	4	Income from investme	nt of tax-exempt b	ond proceeds				
	5	Royalties						
			(ı) Real	(II) Personal				
	6a	Gross Rents	134,406	. ,				
	ь	Less rental	163,576					
	c	expenses Rental income	-29,170					
	_	or (loss)			20.470			20.470
	d	Net rental income	or(loss)	· · · · · ·	-29,170			-29,170
	7a	Gross amount from sales of assets other	7,891,492	(II) Other				
	ь	than inventory Less cost or other basis and	14,315,673	17,735				
	c	sales expenses Gain or (loss)	-6,424,181	-17,735				
	d	Net gain or (loss)	-,,		-6,441,916			-6,441,916
		<u> </u>		. •				
ർാ	8a	Gross income from events (not include \$						
Other Revenue		of contributions re 1c) See Part IV, l <i>Attach Schedule G i</i>	ıne 18 <i>f total exceeds</i>					
<u>.</u>		\$15,000						
ğ	С	Less direct expen Net income or (los						
	9a	Gross income from activities See par Complete Schedule exceeds \$15,000	t IV , line 19					
		,,	а					
	b c	Less direct expen						
	10a	Gross sales of invereturns and allowa	entory, less	<u> </u>				
	L	Less cost of good	а					
	b c	Net income or (los						
		Miscellaneous Re		Business Code				
	11a	scananeous Re		Dasiness code				
	ь							
	C							
	d	All other revenue						
	е	Total. Add lines 11		· ·				
	12	Total Revenue. Ad 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e		_	10,163,198	716,558	0	-6,219,869

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

	Il other organizations must complete column (A) but are not re not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b,	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.	Total expenses	Program service expenses	Management and general expenses	Fundraising expenses
1	Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the U S $$ See Part IV $,$ line 21 $$				
2	Grants and other assistance to individuals in the U.S. See Part IV, line 22				
3	Grants and other assistance to governments, organizations and individuals outside the U S See Part IV, lines 15 and 16				
4	Benefits paid to or for members				
5	Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees	1,290,119	938,019	151,805	200,295
6	Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section $4958(f)(1)$) and persons described in section $4958(c)(3)(B)$				
7	Other salaries and wages	3,910,053	3,351,870		151,808
8	Pension plan contributions (include section 401(k) and section 403(b) employer contributions)	288,716	237,008	46,897	4,811
9	Other employee benefits	294,033	231,393	47,538	15,102
10	Payroll taxes	316,565	260,529	33,893	22,143
11	Fees for services (non-employees)				
а	Management				
Ь	Legal	196,811	185,918	252	10,641
с	Accounting	52,601		52,601	
d	Lobbying				
	Professional fundraising See Part IV, line 17	13,419			13,419
f	Investment management fees	205.066	272.407	22.424	425
g 12	Other	305,966	273,107	32,424	435
12 13	Advertising and promotion	28,037	27,987	121 717	180.660
13 14	Office expenses	792,447	480,070	131,717	180,660
15	Royalties				
16	Occupancy	835,399	617,880	148,455	69,064
10 17	Travel	381,232	334,562	,	38,002
18	Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any Federal, state or local public officials	301,232	334,302	0,000	30,002
19	Conferences, conventions and meetings	253,916	244,249	8,822	845
20	Interest	3,524		3,524	
21	Payments to affiliates				
22	Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	361,308	284,210	53,156	23,942
23	Insurance	71,174	57,556	12,893	725
24	Other expenses—Itemize expenses not covered above (Expenses grouped together and labeled miscellaneous may not exceed 5% of total expenses shown on line 25 below)				
а	MISCELLANEOUS	111,399	38,471	65,807	7,121
b					
c					
d					
е					
f	All other expenses				
25	Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24f	9,506,719	7,562,829	1,204,827	739,063
26	Joint Costs. Check if following SOP 98-2 Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation				

Part X	Balance	Sheet

					(A)		(E	
	1	Cash—non-interest-bearing			Beginning of year 2.201	1	Ena o	f year 2,671
	2	Savings and temporary cash investments			81,864	2		1,849,539
	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net			376,400	3		3,711,305
	4	Accounts receivable, net			55,077	4		15,296
	5	Receivables from current and former officers, directors, trustees		mplovees or	55,577			10,200
		other related parties $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	•	•		5		
	6	Receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under sepersons described in section $4958(c)(3)(B)$ Complete Part II of	Schedu			6		
	7	Notes and loans receivable, net				7		
	8	Inventories for sale or use				8		
sts	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges	•		117,482	9		109,001
Assets	10a	Land, buildings, and equipment cost basis	, buildings, and equipment cost basis 10a 2,039,422					
•	ь	Less accumulated depreciation Complete Part VI of Schedule D	10b	1,339,908	999,971	10c		699,514
	11	Investments—publicly traded securities			14,884,567	11		10,826,656
	12	Investments—other securities See Part IV, line 11 Complete Pa Schedule D	rt VII	of		12		
	13	Investments—program-related See Part IV, line 11 Complete Part IV, line I	ŗ		13			
	14	Intangible assets				14		
	15	Other assets See Part IV, line 11 Complete Part IX of Schedule		25,554			25,554	
	16	D			16,543,116	15 16		17,239,536
	16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34)			266,889	17		237,153
	17 18	Accounts payable and accrued expenses . Grants payable	200,003	18		237,133		
	19	Deferred revenue		19				
	20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities		20				
8	21	Escrow account liability Complete Part IV of Schedule D			21			
Liabilities	22	Payable to current and former officers, directors, trustees, key		21				
jej		employees, highest compensated employees, and disqualified persons Complete Part II of Schedule L				22		
_	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties				23		
	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable				24		
	25	Other liabilities Complete Part X of Schedule D			211,846	25		264,890
	26	Total liabilities. Add lines 17 through 25			478,735	26		502,043
-S		Organizations that follow SFAS 117, check here ▶	lete lin	nes 27				
<u>ў</u>		through 29, and lines 33 and 34.						
an	27	Unrestricted net assets			14,294,365	27	,	10,649,593
Balance	28	Temporarily restricted net assets			1,770,016	28		6,087,900
돧	29	Permanently restricted net assets				29		
Fund		Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117, check here ► □ an lines 30 through 34.	d comp	olet e				
ō.	30	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds				30		
eţs	31	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building or equipment fund.		_		31		
Assets	32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other fu		- · ·		32		
Net /	33	Total net assets or fund balances	16,064,381	33		16,737,493		
ž	34	Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances			16,543,116	-		17,239,536
	·							
Pa	rt XI	Financial Statements and Reporting						
							Yes	No

Dart YT	Financial	Statements	and Reporting

1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990			
2a	Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?	2a		Νο
b	Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?	2b	Yes	
С	If "Yes" to lines 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of the audit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant?	2c	Yes	
3a	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133?	3a		Νο
b	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits?	3b		

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493044004570

Employer identification number

OMB No 1545-0047

SCHEDULE A

(Form 990 or 990EZ)

Name of the organization

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Public Charity Status and Public Support

To be completed by all section 501(c)(3) organizations and section 4947(a)(1)nonexempt charitable trusts. Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

NSTIT	UTE FO	OR JUSTICE										
									-174433			
Par				harity Status (to be co					Instruct	ions)		
	rgani:			ation because it is (Please								
1	<u> </u>	•		urches, or association of ch			Section	L/U(B)(1)(A)(I).			
2	<u> </u>			ion 170(b)(1)(A)(ii). (Attac		•						
3	<u> </u>	•	•	e hospital service organizati			-				•	
4	ļ		-	zation operated in conjuncti	on with a l	hospital d	escribed ii	n Section :	170(Ь)(1)	(A)(iii). E	nter the	
	_	•	hospital's name, city, and state									
5		An organization operated for the benefit of a college or university owned or operated by a governmental unit described in										
	_	Section 170	D(b)(1)(A)(iv).	(Complete Part II)								
6	<u> </u>	A federal, s	tate, or local go	overnment or governmental	unıt descr	ıbed ın Se	ction 170	(b)(1)(A)	(v).			
7	<u>~</u>	An organiza	ation that norma	ally receives a substantial p	art of its s	support fro	m a gove	rnmental u	ınıt or fron	n the gene	ral public	3
		described i	n Section 170(E	o)(1)(A)(vi) (Complete Par	tII)							
8		A communi	ty trust describ	ed in Section 170(b)(1)(A)	(vi) (Com	iplete Par	tII)					
9	Г	An organiza	ation that norma	ally receives (1) more than	331/3% 0	fits supp	ort from co	ontribution	ıs, membe	rship fees	, and gro	ss
		receipts fro	m activities rel	ated to its exempt functions	—subject	to certair	n exceptio	ns, and (2) no more	than 331/	3% of	
		ıts support	from gross inve	estment income and unrelate	ed busines	ss taxable	ıncome (l	ess sectio	on 511 tax	x) from bus	sinesses	
		acquired by	the organization	on after June 30, 1975 See	Section 5	09(a)(2).	(Complete	e Part III)			
10	\sqcap	Anorganiza	atıon organızed	and operated exclusively to	test for p	ublic safe	ty See Se	ct ion 509((a)(4). (Se	ee instruci	tions)	
11	Γ		•	and operated exclusively fo		, ,			,	•		
				orted organizations describe						Section 5	09(a)(3)	.Check
		a T		type of supporting organiza			nes lle t nally Integ		n d	□ Type	III - Ot	her
e	\vdash			rtify that the organization is			-					
•	'	•	- '	agers and other than one or			•			•	•	
		section 50		-	·		_					·
f				d a written determination fro	m the IRS	that it is	a Type I,	Type II o	r Type III	supportin	ig organiz	zation,
		check this		as the organization accepted	d any gift	or contrib	ution from	any of the				ļ
g		following pe		as the organization accepted	u any gni	or continu	ution nom	any or the				
				r indirectly controls, either a	alone or to	gether wi	th persons	describe	d ın (ıı)		Yes	No
		and (III) bel	ow, the governi	ng body of the the supported	d organiza	tion?				11g((i)	
		(ii) a family	/ member of a p	erson described in (i) above	?					11g(ii)	
		(iii) a 35%	controlled enti	ty of a person described in (i) or (ii) al	bove?				11g(iii)	
h		Provide the	following inforr	nation about the organizatio	ns the org	janization	supports					
	(i) Na	ame of	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization	(iv) I	s the	(v) Did y	ou notify	(vi) I	s the	(vii) An	nount of
		orted		(described on lines 1-9	_	ation in	_	ınızatıon		ation in	supp	ort?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					of your		rganized					
				(See Instructions))	your go		supp	ort?	In the	US?		
		document? Yes No Yes No Yes No										
					163	140	163	110	165	140		
										 		
										 		
										-		
										-		
					1	I	1	I	1		1	

Total

Support Schedule for Organizations Described in IRC 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) (Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I.)

	(Complete only if you ched	ked the box o	n line 5, 7, or	8 of Part I.)				
P	ublic Support							
Cale	endar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2004	(b) 2005	(c) 2006	(d) 2007	(e)	2008	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received (Do not include any "unusual grants")	7,091,693	7,458,731	8,481,530	8,986,386		15,666,509	47,684,849
2	Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on							
	its behalf						\longrightarrow	
3	The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge							
4	Total. Add line 1-3	7,091,693	7,458,731	8,481,530	8,986,386		15,666,509	47,684,849
5	The portion of total contribution by each person (other than a government unit or							
	publicly supported organization) included on line 1 that exceed 2% of the amount shown on line 11, column							5,603,397
6	(f) Public Support subtract line 5 from line 4							42,081,452
T	otal Support		ı	I	ı			
	endar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2004	(b) 2005	(c) 2006	(d) 2007	(e)	2008	(f) Total
7	A mounts from line 4	7,091,693	306,816	8,481,530	8,986,386		15,666,509	47,684,849
8	Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar	223,766	306,816	397,121	482,173		385,623	1,795,499
9 10	sources Net income from unrelated business activities, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income Do not include gain or loss							
	from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part IV)							
11	Total Support (Add lines 7 through 10)							49,480,348
12	Gross receipts from related activities, etc	(See instruction	ıs)			12		1,757,098
13	First Five Years. If the Form 990 is for the organization, check this box and stop here		rst, second, thire	d, fourth, or fifth	tax year as a 5	01(c)(▶ ┌
	omputation of Public Support Perc					ı		
14	Public Support Percentage for 2008 (line 6	column (f) divid	led by line 11 co	olumn (f))		14		85.050 %
15 162	Public Support Percentage for 2007 Scheo 33 1/3% Test - 2008. If the organization di	•		and line 14 is 33	2 1/20% or more	15	this box	84.630 %
	and stop here. The organization qualifies a 33 1/3% Test - 2007. If the organization di	s a publicly supp d not check the	orted organizati box on line 13 o	on r 16a, and line :	•			▶ ▼ s ▶ □
17a	box and stop here. The organization qualifi 10% Facts and Circumstances Test - 2008. more, and if the organization meets the "fa	If the organizations of the community of	on did not check cances" test, ch	a box on line 1 eck this box and	stop here. Exp	laın ın	Part IV ho	% or w the
Ь	organization meets the "facts and circums" 10% Facts and Circumstances Test - 2007. more, and if the organization meets the "fa	If the organizati	on dıd not check	a box on line 1	3, 16a, 16b, or	17a aı	nd line 15 i	
18	the organization meets the "facts and circu Private Foundation. If the organization did	ımstances" test	The organization	n qualifies as a	publicly suppor	ted or	ganızatıon	► □
	ınstructions							▶ ┌

Pa	Support Schedule for On (Complete only if you ched				(2)		
	ction A. Public Support		_	,			
Cale	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2004	(b) 2005	(c) 2006	(d) 2007	(e) 2008	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and						
	membership fees received (Do not						
2	include any "unusual grants ") Gross receipts from admissions,						
2	merchandise sold or services performed,						
	or facilities furnished in any activity that						
	is related to the organization's tax-						
	exempt purpose						
3	Gross receipts from activities that are						
	not an unrelated trade or business under						
	section 513						
4	Tax revenues levied for the						
	organization's benefit and either paid to						
	or expended on its behalf						
5	The value of services or facilities						
	furnished by a governmental unit to the						
_	organization without charge						
6	Total Add lines 1-5						
7a	A mounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3						
	received from disqualified persons Amounts included on lines 2 and 3						
D	received from other than disqualified						
	persons that exceed the greater of 1% of						
	the total of lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12 for						
	the year or \$5,000						
c	Total of lines 7a and 7b						
8	Public Support (Substract line 7c from						
_	line 6)						
То	tal Support						
Cale	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2004	(b) 2005	(c) 2006	(d) 2007	(e) 2008	(f) Total
9	A mounts from line 6						
10a	Gross income from interest, dividends,						
	payments received on securities loans,						
	rents, royalties and income from similar						
	sources						
b	Unrelated business taxable income (less						
	section 511 taxes) from businesses						
	acquired after 30 June, 1975		+				
С	Add lines 10a and 10b						
11	Net income from unrelated business						
	activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly						
	carried on						
12	Other income Do not include gain or loss						
	from the sale of capital assets						
	(Explain in Part IV)						
13	Total Support (Add lines 9, 10c, 11 and						
	12)						
14	First Five Years If the Form 990 is for the	organızatıon's fı	rst, second, thir	d, fourth, or fifth	ntax year as a 5	01(c)(3) organı	zation,
	check this box and stop here						▶ □
	manufaction of Dublic Compact Days						
15	mputation of Public Support Perc Public Support Percentage for 2008 (line		dod by line 12 o	olumn (fl)		T 4= T	
			•	.orumm (1))		15	
16	Public Support Percentage for 2007 Sche	dule A , Part IV -	A, line 27g			16	
		D					
Co	mputation of Investment Income Investment Income Percentage for 2008 (ne 13 column /f	<u> </u>	17	
				-	"	17	
ΤQ	Investment Income Percentage from 2007	ocnequie A , Pa	TLIV-A, IINE 2/	H		18	

19a 33 1/3% Tests - 2008. If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33 1/3%, and line

17 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and **stop here.** The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization **33 1/3% Tests - 2007.** If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33 1/3% and line 18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and **stop here.** The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization

Private Foundation If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a or 19b, check this box and see instructions

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2008

▶□

Part IV	Supplemental Information. Complete this part to provide the information required by Part II, line 10; Part II, line 17a or 17b, or Part III, line 12. Provide and any other additional information. (see instructions)
	Facts and Circumstances Test

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2008

SCHEDULE C

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities

For Organizations Exempt From Income Tax Under section 501(c) and section 527

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

To be completed by organizations described below. Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 3, or Form 990-EZ, Part VI, line 46 (Political Campaign Activities)

◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations complete Parts I-A and B Do not complete Part I-C

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the instructions for Form 990.

- ◆ Section 501(c) (other than section 501(c)(3)) organizations complete Parts I-A and C below Do not complete Part I-B
- ◆ Section 527 organizations complete Part I-A only

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 4, or Form 990EZ, Part VI, line 47 (Lobbying Activities)

- ◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)) complete Part II-A Do not complete Part II-B
- ◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)) Complete Part II-B Do not complete Part II-A

	e organization answered "Ye ection 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) organi	s," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 5 (P zations complete Part III	roxy Tax)			
N a	ime of the organization STITUTE FOR JUSTICE			Employer iden	itification numbe	er
Dar	+T-A To be completed b	y all organizations exempt	under section	52-1744337	527	
		e the instructions for Schedule			J27	
1	Provide a description of the or	ganızatıon's dırect and ındırect polit	ıcal campaıgn act	tivities in Part IV		
2	Political expenditures				\$	
3	V olunteer hours					
Pai	rt I-B To be completed be for Schedule C for d	oy all organizations exempt etails.)	under section	n 501(c)(3). (See the	instructions	
1		e tax incurred by the organization un	ider section 4955	5	\$	
2	Enter the amount of any excise	e tax incurred by organization manag	gers under sectio	n 4955	\$	
3	If the organization incurred in	a section 4955 tax, did it file Form 4	1720 for this year	ر ې	☐ Yes	┌ No
4a	Was a correction made?				☐ Yes	☐ No
b	If "Yes," describe in Part IV					
Pai		by all organizations exempt for Schedule C for details.)	under section	n 501(c), except sect	tion 501 (c)(3	3).
1	Enter the amount directly expe	ended by the filing organization for se	ection 527 exem	ot function activities	\$	
2	Enter the amount of the filing of 527 exempt funtion activities	organization's internal funds contribu	ited to other orga	nizations for section	\$	
3	Total of direct and indirect exe 1120-POL, line 17b	empt function expenditures Add line	s 1 and 2 and ent	ter here and on Form	\$	
4	Did the filing organization file I	Form 1120-POL for this year?			☐ Yes	┌ No
5	were made Enter the amount p political contributions received	nd Employer Identification Number (paid and indicate if the amount was p d and promptly and directly delivered action committee (PAC) If additiona	oaid from the filing d to a separate po	g organization's own interna olitical organization, such a	l funds or were s a separate	nents
	(a) Name	(b) Address	(c) EIN	(d) A mount paid from filing organization's internal funds If none, enter - 0-	(e) A mount of contributions and prompt directly delivers separate proorganization enter - (received to a ered to a olitical

Cat No 50084S

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2008

section 4911 tax for this year?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Ρ		organizations exempt under section 501(c)(tion 501(h)). (See the instructions for Schedule C		68
	Check If the filing organization	belongs to an affiliated group	z for details.	
В	Check If the filing organization	checked box A and "limited control" provisions apply		
		obying Expenditures— s" means amounts paid or incurred.)	(a) Filing Organization's Totals	(b) A ffiliated Group Totals
1a	Total lobbying expenditures to influe	nce public opinion (grass roots lobbying)	30,753	
b	Total lobbying expenditures to influe	nce a legislative body (direct lobbying)	35,346	
c	: Total lobbying expenditures (add line	s 1a and 1b)	66,099	
d	Other exempt purpose expenditures		9,604,196	
е	Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d)	9,670,295	
f	Lobbying nontaxable amount Entert columns—	he amount from the following table in both	633,515	
	If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:	The lobbying nontaxable amount is:		
	Not over \$500,000	20% of the amount on line 1e		
	Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000	\$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000		
	Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000	\$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000		
	Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000	\$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000		
	Over \$17,000,000	\$1,000,000		
_				
g	Grassroots nontaxable amount (ente	r 25% of line 1f)	158,379	
h	Subtract line 1g from line 1a Enter -	0- ıf lıne g ıs more than lıne a	0	
i	i Subtract line 1f from line 1c Enter - ()- if line f is more than line c	0	

4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all of the five columns below. See the instructions for lines 1a through 1f of the instructions.)

j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting

	Lobbying Expendit	ures During 4	l-Year Avera	ging Period		
	Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2005	(b) 2006	(c) 2007	(d) 2008	(e) Total
2a	Lobbying non-taxable amount	496,183	584,089	597,302	633,515	2,311,089
ь —	Lobbying ceiling amount (150% of line 2a, column(e))					3,466,634
_с	Total lobbying expenditures	167,032	71,721	37,102	66,099	341,954
d	Grassroots non-taxable amount	124,046	146,022	149,326	158,379	577,773
e	Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line d, column (e))					866,660
f	Grassroots lobbying expenditures	53,058	15,762	5,335	30,753	104,908

	chedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2008 Part II-B To be completed by organizations exempt under				ed F		age 3
	5768 (election under section 501(h)). (See the I	nstructions for Schedule C for d					
			(a)		 	(b)	
		Y	'es	No	A	moun	it
1	legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legisla referendum, through the use of			1			
					l		
Ь		on lines c through 1)?					
С							
d					<u> </u>		
e	, .				<u> </u>		
f					<u> </u>		
g		· ·					
	h Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or	any other means?			<u> </u>		
i	•				<u> </u>		
j							
2a	 11 Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in 	section 501(c)(3)?			I		
	b If "Yes" enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912						
		under section 4912					
	d If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 47						
	art III-A To be completed by all organizations exempt u		ion	501(6	.)(5)	Or	
	section 501(c)(6). (See the instructions for Schedu						
	Warranibatantially all (000) or mana) dues reserved mandady at ble by				-	Yes	No
1	, ,			\vdash	2		-
2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-	3		
3	3 3 , , 3 1			F01/a		\	<u> </u>
1	art III-B To be completed by all organizations exempt u section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, questions 1 question 3 is answered "Yes." (See the instruction Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members	L and 2 are answered "No" C	OR if				
2	,	not include amounts of political	-	т э			
_	expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).	not include amounts of political					
а				2a \$			
	b Carryover from last year			2b\$			
c	c Total		-	2c \$			
3	Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nonded	uctible section 162(e) dues		3 \$			
4	If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on l	ine 3, what portion of the excess	-				
	does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of	nondeductible lobbying and political					
	expenditure next year?		<u> </u>	4 \$			
5	<u> </u>	nus 3 and 4)	\bot	5 \$			
Pa	Part IV Supplemental Information						
	Complete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part I-A, line 1, F Also, complete this part for any additional information	Part I-B, line 4, Part I-C, line 5, and P	art II	l-B, lıne	11		
	Ident if ier Ret urn Reference	Explanat io	'n				

Part IV Supplemental I	nformation	
Ident if ier	Return Reference	Explanation

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990EZ) 2008

OMB No 1545-0047

2008

Open to Public Inspection

SCHEDULE D

(Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Financial Statements

► Attach to Form 990. To be completed by organizations that answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12.

Name of the organization
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number
52-1744337

Part I
Organizations Maintaining Donor Advised Funds or Other Similar Funds or Accounts. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 6.

		(a) Donor advised funds	(b) Funds an	d other accounts
Т	otal number at end of year			
Α	ggregate Contributions to (during year)			
Α	ggregate Grants from (during year)			
Α	ggregate value at end of year			
	Old the organization inform all donors and donor adv unds are the organization's property, subject to the	_	onor advised	┌ Yes ┌ N
ι	Old the organization inform all grantees, donors, and ised only for charitable purposes and not for the be impermissible private benefit?			┌ Yes ┌ N
art	III Conservation Easements. Complete	ıf the organızatıon answered "Yes'	" to Form 990, Part	IV, line 7.
F 	Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the of Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recreated Protection of natural habitat Preservation of open space	tion or pleasure) Preservation of	an historically import certified historic stru	•
	Complete lines 2a–2d if the organization held a qua on the last day of the tax year	lified conservation contribution in the fo		
				at the End of the Y
	Total number of conservation easements		2a	
	Total acreage restricted by conservation easemen		2b	
	Number of conservation easements on a certified h	nistoric structure included in (a)	2c	
	Number of conservation easements included in (c)	acquired after 8/17/06	2d	
	Number of conservation easements modified, transf he taxable year ►	erred, released, extinguished, or termina	ated by the organization	on during
ľ	Number of states where property subject to conserv	vation easement is located ▶		
	Does the organization have a written policy regarding the conservation easements it holds		olations, and	┌ Yes ┌ N
9	Staff or volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, insp	ecting and enforcing easements during t	he year ►	
Þ	Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspect	ing, and enforcing easements during the	year ► \$	
	Does each conservation easement reported on line 1.70(h)(4)(B)(i) and 1.70(h)(4)(B)(ii)?	2(d) above satisfy the requirements of s	ection	┌ Yes ┌ N
b	n Part XIV, describe how the organization reports of palance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text of he organization's accounting for conservation ease	the footnote to the organization's financ		
rt	Organizations Maintaining Collection Complete if the organization answered		s, or Other Simila	r Assets.
ā	f the organization elected, as permitted under SFA: art, historical treasures, or other similar assets hele provide, in Part XIV, the text of the footnote to its fi	d for public exhibition, education or rese	arch in furtherance of	
ŀ	f the organization elected, as permitted under SFA: historical treasures, or other similar assets held for provide the following amounts relating to these item	public exhibition, education, or researcl		
(i) Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line	1	► \$	
(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X		► \$	
	f the organization received or held works of art, his ollowing amounts required to be reported under SFA		s for financial gain, pro	ovide the
F	Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1		► \$	
·	Assets included in Form 990, Part X		▶ - \$	

Part	Organizations Maintaining Collections of Art,	His	tor	<u>ical Treasu</u>	res, or Othe	r Similar Asse	ts (co	ntınued)
3	Using the organization's accession and other records, check any items (check all that apply) $$	of th	ne fo	_	-	se of its collection	1	
а	Public exhibition	d	Γ	Loan or exch	nange programs			
b	Scholarly research	e	Г	Other				
c	Preservation for future generations							
4	Provide a description of the organization's collections and explain Part XIV	n hov	w the	y further the o	rganızatıon's ex	empt purpose in		
5	During the year, did the organization solicit or receive donations assets to be sold to raise funds rather than to be maintained as p					ular	Yes	Г No
Par	Trust, Escrow and Custodial Arrangements. C Part IV, line 9, or reported an amount on Form 990	Com	plet	e if the orga		ered "Yes" to Fo	rm 9	90,
1a	Is the organization an agent, trustee, custodian or other intermed included on Form 990, Part X?	diary	for	contributions o	r other assets 1	not	Yes	┌ No
b	If "Yes," explain why in Part XIV and complete the following table $\ensuremath{^{\text{T}}}$	9						
						A mou	nt	
c	Beginning balance				1c			
d	Additions during the year				1d			
е	Distributions during the year				1e			
f	Ending balance				1f	_		
2a	Did the organization include an amount on Form 990, Part X, line	21?				Г	Yes	│ No
	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV							
Par	TEV Endowment Funds. Complete if the organization (a)Current Year						V Carre V	ears Back
4_		(D	PHOL	real (C)IW	o reals back (u)	Tillee fears back (e	Jroui 10	ears back
1a	Beginning of year balance							
Ь	Contributions							
c	Investment earnings or losses							
d	Grants or scholarships							
e	Other expenditures for facilities and programs							
f	Administrative expenses							
g	End of year balance							
2	Provide the estimated percentage of the year end balance held as	5						
- а	Board designated or quasi-endowment							
Ь	Permanent endowment							
c 3a	Term endowment ► Are there endowment funds not in the possession of the organizar	tion	that	are hold and a	dministored for	tho		
Ja	organization by	LIOII	tiiat	are nero ano a	ullillistereu loi	tile	Yes	No
	(i) unrelated organizations					3a(i)		
	(ii) related organizations					3a(ii)		
b	If "Yes" to $3a(\ensuremath{\text{II}}),$ are the related organizations listed as required	on S	che	dule R?		3b		
4	Describe in Part XIV the intended uses of the organization's ende	owm	ent f	unds				
Par	t VI Investments—Land, Buildings, and Equipmen	ıt. S	ee	Form 990, Pa	rt X, line 10.			
	Description of investment			a) Cost or other sis (investment)	(b) Cost or other basis (other)	(c) Depreciation	(d) Bo	ook value
1 a l	and							
b E	Buildings							
c l	easehold improvements				830,525	790,532		39,993
d E	Equipment				1,208,897	549,376		659,521
e (Other							
Total	Add lines 1a-1e (Column (d) should equal Form 990, Part X, colum	n (B)), line	e 10(c).)		▶		699,514
						Schedule D (F	orm 9	90) 2008

Part VIII Investments—Other Securities. See	e Form 990, Part X, line 1	
(a) Description of security or cateory (including name of security)	(b)Book value	(c) Method of valuation Cost or end-of-year market value
Financial derivatives and other financial products		
Closely-held equity interests		
Other		
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 12)		
Part VIII Investments—Program Related. Se	ee Form 990. Part X. line	13.
(a) Description of investment type	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation
——————————————————————————————————————	(b) Book value	Cost or end-of-year market value
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 13)		
Part IX Other Assets. See Form 990, Part X, I (a) Descr		(b) Book value
(a) Descr	iption	(b) Book value
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col.(B) line	15.)	
Part X Other Liabilities. See Form 990, Part		
(a) Description of Liability	(b) A mount	
Federal Income Taxes		
DEFERRED RENT	199,012	
CAPITAL LEASE LIABILITY	65,878	
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 25) ▶	264,890	

1	Total revenue (Form 990, Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1	10,163,198
2	Total expenses (Form 990, Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2	9,506,719
3	Excess or (deficit) for the year Subtract line 2 from line 1	3	656,479
4	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	4	16,633
5	Donated services and use of facilities	5	
6	Investment expenses	6	
7	Prior period adjustments	7	
8	Other (Describe in Part XIV)	8	
9	Total adjustments (net) Add lines 4 - 8	9	16,633
10	Excess or (deficit) for the year per financial statements Combine lines 3 and 9	10	673,112
Par	t XII Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statements With Revenue p	er R	eturn
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial		10,343,407
	statements	1	
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12		
а	Net unrealized gains on investments		
b	Donated services and use of facilities		
С	Recoveries of prior year grants		
d	Other (Describe in Part XIV) 2d		
e	Add lines 2a through 2d	2e	16,633
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1	3	10,326,774
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1		
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b . 4a		
b	Other (Describe in Part XIV)		
c	Add lines 4a and 4b	4c	-163,576
5	Total Revenue Add lines 3 and 4c. (This should equal Form 990, Part I, line 12)	5	10,163,198
Part	XIIII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statements With Expenses	per	Return
1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements	1	9,670,295
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25		
а	Donated services and use of facilities		
b	Prior year adjustments		
c	Losses reported on Form 990, Part IX, line 25		
d	Other (Describe in Part XIV) 2d 163,576		
е	Add lines 2a through 2d	2e	163,576
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1	3	9,506,719
4	A mounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:		
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b 4a		
b	Other (Describe in Part XIV) 4b		
c	Add lines 4a and 4b	4с	0
5	Total expenses Add lines 3 and 4c. (This should equal Form 990, Part I, line 18)	5	9,506,719
Pai	rt XIV Supplemental Information		
	nplete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9, Part III, lines 1a and 4, Pa	art XI	/, lines 1b and 2b,
Par	t V, line 4, Part X, Part XI, line 8, Part XII, lines 2d and 4b, and Part XIII, lines 2d and 4b		
1			

Part XI Reconciliation of Change in Net Assets from Form 990 to Financial Statements

Ident if ier	Return Reference	Explanation
		PART XII, LINE 4B & PART XIII, LINE 2D SUBLEASE INCOME & EXPENSES - MAIN OFFICE

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493044004570

OMB No 1545-0047

2008

Compensation Information

Schedule J (Form 990)

For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest
Compensated Employees

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service ► Attach to Form 990. To be completed by organizations that answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 23.

Name of the organization
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number
52-1744337

Pa	rt I Questions Regarding Compensation	on			
				Yes	Νo
1a		rovided any of the following to or for a person listed in Form II to provide any relevant information regarding these items			
	First class or charter travel	Housing allowance or residence for personal use			
	Travel for companions	Payments for business use of personal residence			
	Tax idemnification and gross-up payments	Health or social club dues or initiation fees			
	Discretionary spending account	Personal services (e g , maid, chauffeur, chef)			
b	If line 1a is checked, did the organization follow a very provision of all the expenses described above? If "	written policy regarding payment or reimbursement or "No," complete Part III to explain	1b		
2	Did the organization require substantiation prior to	reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all			
	officers, directors, trustees, and the CEO/Executiv	ve Director, regarding the items checked in line 1a?	2		
3	Indicate which, if any, of the following the organization				
	✓ Compensation committee	Written employment contract			
	✓ Independent compensation consultant	Compensation survey or study			
	Form 990 of other organizations	A pproval by the board or compensation committee			
4	During the year, did any person listed in Form 990	, Part VII, Section A, line 1a			
а	Receive a severance payment or change of control	l payment?	4a		Νo
b	Participate in, or receive payment from, a supplem	ental nonqualified retirement plan?	4b		Νo
c	Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-	based compensation arrangement?	4c		Νo
	If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and p	provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III			
	501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations only must c	complete lines 5-8.			
5	For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A compensation contingent on the revenues of	A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any			
а	The organization?		5a		Νo
ь	Any related organization?		5b		Νο
	If "Yes," to line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III				
6	For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A compensation contingent on the net earnings of	A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any			
а	The organization?		6a		No
b	Any related organization?		6b		Νo
	If "Yes," to line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III				
7	For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A payments not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes,"	A, line 1a, did the organization provide any non-fixed ' describe in Part III	7	Yes	
8	Were any amounts reported in Form 990, Part VII, subject to the initial contract exception described in Part III	, paid or accured pursuant to a contract that was in Regs section 53 4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe	8		No

Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use Schedule J-1 if additional space needed.

For each individual whose compensation must be reported in Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations described in the instructions on row (ii) Do not list any individuals that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII

Note. The sum of columns (B)(I)-(III) must equal the applicable column (D) or column (E) amounts on Form 990, Part VII, line 1a

(A) Name		(B) Breakdown of	of W-2 and/or 1099-MIS	SC compensation	(C) Deferred	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation
		(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other compensation	compensation	benefits	(B)(ı)-(D)	reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ
WILLIAM H MELLOR	(ı) (ıı)		80,000		46,000	14,081	488,554	178,406
DEBORAH SIMPSON	(ı) (ıı)	142,060	10,000		19,450	2,884	174,394	78,461
JOHN KRAMER	(ı) (ıı)		15,000		27,483	17,684	251,411	. 116,637
BETH STEVENS	(ı) (ıı)		10,000		18,153	4,891	1 158,384	62,380
SCOTT BULLOCK	(ı) (ıı)		7,000		23,112	6,109	199,631	. 88,800
DANA BERLINER	(ı) (ıı)		5,000		26,286	8,309	207,339	90,618
CLARK NEILY	(ı) (ıı)		7,500		22,356	5,527	7 191,350	87,441
STEVE SIMPSON	(ı) (ıı)		5,000		22,108	19,444	197,811	. 85,969
	(i)							
	(ii)							1
	(i)	 '	<u> </u>			1	<u> </u>	1
	(ii)	 '	<u> </u>		<u> </u> '			1
	(i)	 '	 		<u> </u> '	1	<u> </u>	4
	(ii)	 '	 		<u> </u>			4
	(i)	 '	 			1		4
	(ii)	1	1	1	'	1	1	1

Part III Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8 Also complete this part for any additional information

Ident if ier	Return Reference	Explanation
		THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE DETERMINES, ON AN ANNUAL BASIS, THE BONUS TO BE AWARDED TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE INSTITUTE FOR ALL OTHERS, BONUSES ARE DETERMINED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE INSTITUTE ON AN ANNUAL BASIS ALL BONUSES ARE BASED UPON A BOARD APPROVED BUDGET

Schedule J (Form 990) 2008

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS | As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493044004570

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Schedule L

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► To be completed by organizations that answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 25a, 25b, 26, 27, 28a, 28b, or 28c, or Form 990-EZ, Part V lines 38b or 40b.

Transactions with Interested Persons

Name of the organization
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number

						2-174					
To be completed by organization									' Pari	tV line	40h
		.5 011101	·					70 62			
(a) Name of disqualifie	a person		(b) Des	cripti	on of transa	iction				Yes	No
										(c) Corrives Yes Inne 38a (g) Worr agreer Yes (e) Sha organizareveni Yes	
Enter the amount of tax imposed on	-	-				earui	nder				
section 4958						•	•	\$			
Enter the amount of tax, if any, on lire TELL Loans to and/or From			organization.	•		•		>			
To be completed by organiza			Form 990, Part	IV, III	ne 26, or Fo	orm 9	90-E	Z, Part	V, lın	ne 38a	
	(b) Loan to or		,		ŕ			(1	f)		
(a) Name of interested person and	from the	(c)0 rı	gınal prıncıpal	(d) Balance du		(e) defa		Approve by board		1	
purpose	organization?		amount					committee		1 -	_
	To From				Yes		No	Yes	No	Yes	No
t IIII Grants or Assistance B											
To be completed by organ				90, F	Part IV, lın	e 27					
(a) Name of interested person	(b)Relationshi	ıp betwee	n interested pe					nt or tv	ne of	assista	nce
(0)	and	d the orga	anızatıon		(0)				-		
rt IV Business Transactions	Involving Intore	octod D	0.5000								
rt IV Business Transactions To be completed by organ				90, F	Part IV, lın	e 28	a, 28	b, or	28c.		
	(b) Relationshi			Í	•		,	•		(e) Sha	ring o
(a) Name of interested person	between interest person and the	I .	(c) A mount of transaction	•	(d) Descr	iption	oftra	ansacti	ion	_	
	organization		transaction						-		No
ORAH SIMPSON	MANAGING VP &				DEBORAH		,				Νο
	SECRETARY				MANAGINO AND STEVI				RY,		
				9	SENIOR AT	TOR	NEY,				
N MONTCOMEDY	CONCULTANT		2.4		HUSBAND.						N. c
AN MONTGOMERY	CONSULTANT		24,8		AFTER LEA INSTITUTE				<u>,</u>		Νo
					TREASURE						
					CONSULTA INSTITUTE		<u> </u>				

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS | As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493044004570

OMB No 1545-0047

Inspection

Non-Cash Contributions

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

SCHEDULE M

(Form 990)

To be completed by organizations that answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 29 or 30. Attach to Form 990

Name of the organization **Employer identification number** INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 Part I Types of Property (a) (b) (d) (c) Check Number of Contributions Revenues reported on Method of determining Form 990, Part VIII, line ıf revenues applicable 1 g 1 Art-Works of art . . Art—Historical treasures 3 Art—Fractional interests 4 Books and publications 5 Clothing and household goods Cars and other vehicles Boats and planes Intellectual property . . . Securities—Publicly traded . Χ 11 316,477 FMV 10 Securities—Closely held stock . 11 Securities—Partnership, LLC, or trust interests . . . 12 Securities-Miscellaneous . . 13 Qualified conservation contribution (historic structures) 14 Oualified conservation contribution (other) . . . Real estate—Residential . Real estate—Commercial . . 17 Real estate—Other . . . 18 Collectibles 19 Food inventory . . . 20 Drugs and medical supplies . Taxidermy 21 22 Historical artifacts Scientific specimens . . Archeological artifacts . . . Other (describe 25 Other (describe ____ 27 Other (describe 28 Other (describe Number of Forms 8283 received by the organization during the tax year for contributions for 29 which the organization completed Form 8283, Part IV, Donee Acknowledgement Yes No 30a During the year, did the organization receive by contribution any property reported in Part I, lines 1-28 that it must least three years from the date of the initial contribution, and which is not required to be used for exempt purposes No 30a **b** If "Yes", describe the arrangement in Part II 31 Yes Does the organization have a gift acceptance policy that requires the review of any non-standard contributions? 32a Does the organization hire or use third parties or related organizations to solicit, process, or sell non-cash 32a Yes **b** If "Yes", describe in Part II

checked, describe in Part II

33 If the organization did not report revenues in Column (c) for a type of property for which Column (a) is

Part II Supplemental Information. Complete this part to provide the information required by Part I, lines 30b, 32b, and 33. Also complete this part for any additional information. Identifier ReturnReference Explanation Third Party Use Part I, Line 32b THE INSTITUTE HAS A BROKERAGE ACCOUNT TO FACILITATE THE SALE OF DONATED STOCK

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS | As Filed Data -

Department of the Treasury

SCHEDULE 0

(Form 990)

Supplemental Information to Form 990

DLN: 93493044004570 OMB No 1545-0047

Inspection

52-1744337

Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

▶ Attach to Form 990. To be completed by organizations to provide additional information for responses to specific questions for the Form 990 or to provide any additional information. Internal Revenue Service **Employer identification number**

Return **Identifier Explanation** Reference THE FORM 990 WAS REVIEWED BY THE INSTITUTE'S AUDIT COMMITTEE IN CONSULTATION WITH THE Form 990, Part VI, INSTITUTE'S INDEPENDENT AUDITORS AFTER REVIEW BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE, THE FORM 990 WAS Section A, line 10 REVIEWED BY THE FULL BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Form 990, Part VI, Section B, line 12c		ON AN ANNUAL BASIS BOTH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EVERY EMPLOYEE REVIEWS THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY AND MUST DISCLOSE ANY CONFLICTS WITH THE INSTITUTE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS REVIEWS THE POLICY AT OR AROUND ITS FINAL MEETING OF THE FISCAL YEAR AND EVERY EMPLOYEE RECEIVES AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF THE POLICY

Identifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Form 990, Part VI, Section B, line 15		THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL'S COMPENSATION IS SET BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT THE FALL BOARD MEETING THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PROVIDES THE BOARD'S COMPENSATION COMMITTEE WITH PRESENT AND PAST COMPENSATION AMOUNTS FOR THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, AS WELL AS COMPARABLE DATA FOR SIMILARLY SITUATED NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS THE CFO ALSO ENGAGES AN OUTSIDE VENDOR TO PROVIDE AN INDEPENDENT COMPENSATION SURVEY THIS INFORMATION IS PROVIDED TO THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE, WHICH DELIBERATES AND RECOMMENDS A COMPENSATION PACKAGE FOR THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL. THE FULL BOARD (EXCEPT FOR THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, WHO IS RECUSED) THEN VOTES TO DETERMINE COMPENSATION AND THE DECISION IS CONTEMPORANEOUSLY RECORDED AND COMMUNICATED TO THE CFO BY THE CHAIRMAN AND PLACED IN THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL'S CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYMENT FILE DURING THE SUMMER BOARD MEETING, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AUTHORIZES FORECASTED COMPENSATION INCREASES FOR OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOY EES THROUGH ITS APPROVAL OF THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR'S BUDGET. IN DETERMINING THE FISCAL YEAR BUDGET, THE COMPENSATION AMOUNTS OF OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES ARE DETERMINED IN COMPARISON TO SIMILARLY SITUATED OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES AT SIMILARLY SITUATED NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS SUCH DETERMINATION IS CONTEMPORANEOUSLY SUBSTANTIATED THROUGH RECORDATION OF THE PASSAGE OF THE BUDGET. THE COMPENSATION DETERMINATION IS PLACED IN THE OFFICER OR OTHER KEY EMPLOYEE'S CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYMENT FILE.

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Form 990, Part VI, Section C, line 19		THE INSTITUTE'S 990 IS AVAILABLE ON ITS AND OTHERS' WEBSITES THE INSTITUTE'S 990 AND OTHER IRS DOCUMENTATION, GOVERNING DOCUMENTS AND OTHER CONFIDENTIAL POLICIES ARE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC UPON REQUEST

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 2C		THE INSTITUTE HAS AN AUDIT COMMITTEE THAT ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR OVERSIGHT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SELECTION OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDITOR THE PROCESS HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE PRIOR YEAR

XI, LINE 2C		HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE PRIOR YEAR
Identifier	Return Reference	Explanation
CASE UPDATE - EDUCATION		CAINV HORNEN EARLY 2007 THE ARROVA EDUCATION ASSOCIATION THE ACTUOR ARROVAL, THEREARDRICAN THE AMERICAN WAY, THREE ARROVAL TAXRAY ERS, AND FIVE OTHER EDUCATION CROUPS, SUCH AS THE ARROVAL STATE ARROVAL THE AMERICAN WAY. THERE ARROVAL TAXRAY ERS, AND FIVE OTHER EDUCATION CROUPS, SUCH AS THE ARROVAL CHEEN THE AMERICAN WAY. THE ARROYAL CHEEN THE PROGRAMS ASSOCIATION AND THE PITA, FLED A LAWWINT CALLENGING ARROVAL WAY. VIOLENT THE PROGRAM SOOR CHEEN THE THE PROGRAMS SOOR CHEEN THE THE PROGRAMS ON CHEEN THE THE PROGRAMS ON CHEEN THE THE ARROVAL CONSTITUTIONS BLANK AN AMERICAN CHEEN THE TRAIL COUNT UNHELD THE PROGRAMS SUIT ON THE ARROVAL COUNT OF A PRACHAS DECLARED THE PROGRAMS DISCOSING THE PROGRAMS BUT IN MAY 200 STUDENTS, THE TAX COUNT OF A PRACHAS DECLARED THE PROGRAMS UNCONSTITUTIONAL PURSUANT TO CHE CHE ARROVAL SOURCE FROM THE ARROVAL OF THE PROGRAMS ON THE ARROVAL THE ARROVAL CHEEN THE ARROVAL CHEE

ldentifier	Return	Explanation
CASE UPDATE - FIRST AMENDMENT	Return Reference	EROWARD COALITION V BROWNING IN MAY 2009, WE STRUCK DOWN THE BROADEST REGULATION OF POLITICAL SPEECH IN THE NATION MEN U.S. DISTRICT, LIDGE STEPHAN P. MICKLE PULLED FLORIDA'S "LE CETONEERING COMMANICATIONS" LAW UNDONSTITUTIONAL. THIS STATUTE WHICH PURPORTED TO RESILLATE CONTROLLAND WITH ANY POLITICAL CAMPAIGN OF RESILLATE ON SERVICE ANY UNDONSTITUTIONAL. THIS STATUTE WHICH PURPORTED TO RESILLATE CAMPAIGN OF REVIEW WIS BEING ARP LED TO SELDES ERECHEN Y CORDINARY CITZENE AND EDUCATIONAL NON-PROFITS THAT HAVE MO A PELLATION WITH ANY POLITICAL CAMPAIGN OF CANDIDATE IN THIS LAW WAS YET AND THE REAMPLE OF HEAVIEW CAMPAGE OF THE PURPOR HER STATE LEVEL ARE BEING BUSINED TO STIFLE FREE SPEECH YET AND THE SEARCH SEAR

Identifier	Return	Explanation
	Reference	<u> </u>
		PAGAN V FRUCHEY, ET AL CHRIS PAGAN LIVES IN GLENDALE, OHIO AND PRACTICES LAW IN NEARBY MIDDLETON IN THE SUMMER OF 2003, HE ACCEPTED A 1970 MERCURY COUGAR FROM A CLIENT IN LIEU OF FEES HE DECIDED TO PUT THE CAR UP FOR SALE BY DOING WHAT PEOPLE HAVE ALWAYS DONE HE PUT A "FOR SALE" SIGN IN THE WINDOW BECAUSE HIS DRIVEWAY IS OBSCURED, HE PARKED HIS CAR ON THE PUBLIC STREET DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF HIS HOME SOON THEREAFTER, A POLICE OFFICER TOLD HIM THAT IT WAS ILLEGAL TO PUT A "FOR SALE" SIGN IN THE WINDOW OF HIS CAR WHILE IT IS PARKED ON A PUBLIC STREET AFTER UNSUCCESSFULLY TRYING TO WORK OUT A COMPROMISE, PAGAN SUED GLENDALE IN FEDERAL COURT, ARGUING THAT THE GOVERNMENT CANNOT TELL HIM TO TAKE DOWN HIS SIGN BASED ON ITS CONTENT HE LOST IN THE TRIAL COURT AND THEN ON APPEAL TO THE SIXTH CIRCUIT AT THAT POINT, THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TOOK OVER THE CASE AND PERSUADED ALL 15 JUDGES OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT TO REHEAR THE CASE AS ONE IN A PROCEEDING KNOWN AS EN BANC REVIEW AFTER RE-BRIEFING AND RE-ARGUMENT, THE EN BANC COURT HANDED DOWN A NARROW 8-7 DECISION IN THE SUMMER OF 2007 IN OUR FAVOR THE COURT HELD THE ORDINANCE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, REVERSED THE DISTRICT COURT, AND REMANDED TO THE TRIAL COURT FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS GLENDALE PETITIONED THE SUPREME COURT FOR REVIEW, BUT THAT WAS DENIED ON
		REMAND, WE ARGUED THAT PAGAN SHOULD BE AWARDED HIS DAMAGES AND BE GRANTED FINAL JUDGMENT GLENDALE, ON THE OTHER HAND, ARGUED THAT THERE SHOULD BE A TRIAL THE JUDGE DISAGREED AND IN MAY 2008 ENTERED FINAL JUDGMENT FOR OUR CLIENT AND AN AWARD OF NOMINAL DAMAGES GLENDALE APPEALED THE GRANT OF FINAL JUDGMENT, AND WE WON AGAIN THIS LATEST WIN DEFINITIVELY ENDS THE CASE ON ITS MERITS ROYALL V MAIN, ET AL IJ REPRESENTS AUTHOR CARLA MAIN, PUBLISHER ENCOUNTER FOR CULTURE AND EDUCATION, AND LAW PROFESSOR RICHARD EPSTEIN IN THEIR DEFENSE OF A DEFAMATION ACTION FILED BY DALLAS, TEXAS DEVELOPER H WALKER ROYALL OVER THE CONTENTS OF MAIN'S BOOK, BULLDOZED "KELO," EMINENT DOMAIN, AND THE AMERICAN LUST FOR LAND MAIN WROTE BULLDOZED TO DOCUMENT A CASE OF EMINENT DOMAIN, AND THE AMERICAN LUST FOR LAND MAIN WROTE BULLDOZED TO DOCUMENT A CASE OF EMINENT DOMAIN ABUSE IN FREEPORT, TEXAS THERE, ROYALL FOR A LUXURY MARINA DEVELOPMENT PROJECT ROYALL HAD PREVIOUSLY SUED THE GORES FOR DEFAMATION OVER A WEBSITE MAINTAINED BY WRIGHT GORE, III, THAT DESCRIBED ROYALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE PROJECT SEVERAL YEARS LATER, WHEN MAIN WROTE A BOOK ABOUT IT, ROYALL SUED MAIN AND HER PUBLISHER HE ALSO SUED LAW PROFESSOR RICHARD EPSTEIN OVER A BLURB ON THE BACK COVER HE ALSO SUED A NEWSPAPER THAT RAN A REVIEW OF BULLDOZED AND THE AUTHOR OF THE BOOK REVIEW (IJ IS NOT REPRESENTING THE NEWSPAPER OR BOOK REVIEWER) IN MARCH 2009, WE WON THE DISMISSAL OF PROFESSOR EPSTEIN FROM THE LAWSUIT, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO CELEBRATING SIMILAR VICTORIES WITH OUR REMAINING TWO CLIENTS SAMPSON V BUESCHER IJ REPRESENTS SIX RESIDENTS OF PARKER NORTH, COLORADO, WHO WERE SUED FOR VIOLATING COLORADO'S CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS IN 2006 WHEN OUR CLIENTS OPPOSED THE ANNEXATION OF THEIR
		NEIGHBORHOOD INTO THE NEARBY TOWN OF PARKER, THE SUPPORTERS OF ANNEXATION FILED A CAMPAIGN FINANCE COMPLAINT ALLEGING THAT THEY FAILED TO REGISTER AS AN ISSUE COMMITTEE AND TO COMPLY WITH DISCLOSURE REGULATIONS. IJ FILED SUIT AGAINST THE COLORADO SECRETARY OF STATE IN SEPTEMBER 2006 ALLEGING THREE SEPARATE FIRST AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. FIRST, WE CLAIM THAT THE PROVISION THAT ALLOWS "ANY PERSON" TO FILE AND PROSECUTE COMPLAINTS UNDER THE CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS CHILLS SPEECH SECOND, WE CLAIM THAT THE REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS FOR ISSUE COMMITTEES UNCONSTITUTIONALLY BURDEN RIGHTS TO FREE SPEECH AND ASSOCIATION. THIRD, WE CLAIM THAT COLORADO'S DISCLOSURE RULES LAWS VIOLATE RIGHTS TO ANONYMOUS SPEECH AND ASSOCIATION. ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2008, THE TRIAL COURT ISSUED A MIXED DECISION ON CROSS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT CONCLUDED THAT OUR CLIENTS' FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED, BUT FAILED TO STRIKE DOWN THE CHALLENGED LAWS. THE COURT CONCLUDED THAT THE STATE LAWS DO NOT APPLY TO ANNEXATION ELECTIONS UNTIL A NOTICE OF ELECTION IS PUBLISHED. JJ APPEALED THE DECISION, AND BRIEFING WAS COMPLETED IN THE APPEAL ON JUNE 15, 2009. WE ARE CURRENTLY AWAITING ORAL ARGUMENT. SAN JUAN COUNTY ET AL. V. NO NEW GAS TAX ET AL. IN THIS CASE LOCAL PROSECUTORS SOUGHT TO DERAIL AN INITIATIVE THAT WOULD HAVE ROLLED BACK A MASSIVE TAX INCREASE. TO ACCOMPLISH THIS, THEY
		DELEGATED THEIR PROSECUTORIAL AUTHORITY TO A PRIVATE LAW FIRM THAT STOOD TO GAIN POLITICALLY AND FINANCIALLY FROM HARASSING THE INITIATIVE CAMPAIGN. THE FIRM WAS NOT ONLY A MEMBER OF THE POLITICAL OPPOSITION TO THE INITIATIVE BUT ALSO "BOND COUNSEL" TO THE STATE AGENCY THAT WOULD ISSUE BONDS BASED ON THE REVENUE DERIVED FROM THE TAX INCREASE. THE FIRM BROUGHT A COMPLAINT AGAINST NO NEW GAS TAX (NNGT), THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE PROMOTING THE INITIATIVE, ALLEGING THAT THE CAMPAIGN VIOLATED THE STATE'S CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS. THE COMPLAINT CLAIMED THAT ON-AIR DISCUSSIONS BY TWO RADIO TALK SHOW HOSTS URGING LISTENERS TO SUPPORT THE INITIATIVE CONSTITUTED "IN-KIND" CONTRIBUTIONS BY THE RADIO STATION TO THE NO NEW GAS TAX COMMITTEE THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISCLOSED. (AN "IN-KIND" CONTRIBUTION IS A NON-MONETARY CONTRIBUTION, LIKE PRINTING SERVICES OR EQUIPMENT.) THE TRIAL COURT AGREED, FORCING THE CAMPAIGN TO REPORT ON-AIR DISCUSSIONS OF THE INITIATIVE AS "IN-KIND" CONTRIBUTIONS THIS IS THE KIND OF INEVITABLE COMPLICATION AND ABUSE THAT OCCURS WHEN THE GOVERNMENT TRIES TO REGULATE SPEECH. THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE STEPPED IN TO DEFEND THE NO NEW GAS TAX CAMPAIGN AGAINST THE PROSECUTORS' POLITICALLY MOTIVATED LAWSUIT, AND BOUGHT COUNTERCLAIMS ON BEHALF OF THE CAMPAIGN AGAINST THE PROSECUTORS AND THEIR UNPRECEDENTED ASSAULT ON FREE SPEECH, FREE ASSOCIATION AND DUE PROCESS. WE ARGUED THE CASE BEFORE THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT IN JUNE 2006 AND THE COURT UNANIMOUSLY RULED IN OUR FAVOR IN APRIL 2007. THE CASE BACK TO THE TRIAL COURT SO THAT NNGT COULD PURSUE ITS COUNTERCLAIMS IN SEPTEMBER 2008, WE FILED A NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL AND SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL, SUBSTITUTING IN THE CAMPAIGN'S PRIVATE ATTORNEY (WHO HAD HANDLED THE CASE BEFORE IJ'S INVOLVEMENT) NNGT'S PRIVATE ATTORNEY IS CONTINUING TO PROCEED WITH THE CASE

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Identifier		EXPLANTATION SPEECHNOW ORG V FEC THIS IS A CHALLENGE TO THE APPLICATION OF CONTRIBUTION LIMITS AND VARIOUS REGISTRATION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS TO AN INDEPENDENT CITIZEN GROUP, SPEECHNOW ORG, WHICH WANTS TO RUN ADVERTISEMENTS EXPRESSLY ADVOCATING THE ELECTION OR DEFEAT OF CANDIDATES WE REPRESENT SPEECHNOW ORG AND DAVID KEATING, ED CRANE, FRED YOUNG, BRAD RUSSO, AND SCOTT BURKHARDT, ALL OF WHOM HAVE PLEDGED TO MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS TO SPEECHNOW ORG ONCE IT IS LEGAL TO DO SO SPEECHNOW ORG INTENDS TO PRODUCE AND BROADCAST TELEVISION ADVERTISEMENTS CALLING FOR THE ELECTION OF CANDIDATES WHO SUPPORT FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND THE DEFEAT OF CANDIDATES WHO DO NOT THE GROUP WILL NOT MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS TO CANDIDATES OR PARTIES OR COORDINATE ITS ACTIVITIES WITH THEM, AND IT WILL NOT ACCEPT ANY CORPORATE OR UNION FUNDS AS A RESULT, IT RAISES NO CONCERNS ABOUT CORRUPTION THAT WOULD JUSTIFY LIMITING ITS CONTRIBUTIONS UNDER THE CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS IN SHORT, SPEECHNOW ORG IS A GROUP OF CITIZENS WHO WANT TO SPEND THEIR OWN MONEY ON THEIR OWN SPEECH, AS SUCH, THEIR ACTIVITIES SHOULD BE FULLY PROTECTED UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT HOWEVER, UNDER THE FEDERAL CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS, SPEECHNOW ORG IS CONSIDERED A "POLITICAL COMMITTEE" BECAUSE IT INTENDS TO SPEND OR RECEIVE MORE THAN \$1000 TO INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF FEDERAL ELECTIONS POLITICAL COMMITTEES (ESSENTIALLY THE SAME THING AS "PACS") ARE SUBJECT TO ONEROUS REGISTRATION AND REPORTING REGULATIONS AS WELL AS A \$5000 ANNUAL LIMIT ON CONTRIBUTIONS FROM ANY ONE PERSON OUR CLIENTS CHALLENGE BOTH OF THESE
		RESTRICTIONS AS VIOLATIONS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT WE COMPLETED BRIEFING ON PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT TO THE DISTRICT COURT IN JANUARY 2009 UNDER A UNIQUE PROVISION OF THE CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS, CASES ASSERTING CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES TO THE FEDERAL CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS CAN BE CERTIFIED TO THE D.C. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR AN EN BANC HEARING ONCE THE DISTRICT COURT ISSUES FINDINGS OF FACT. WE ARE CURRENTLY AWAITING THE FINDINGS OF FACT FROM THE DISTRICT COURT, WHICH WE BELIEVE WILL BE ISSUED BY THE END OF AUGUST 2009. SEPARATELY, WE APPEALED THE DISTRICT COURT'S DENIAL OF OUR EARLIER MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION IN THE CASE. UNDER THE CURRENT SCHEDULE, BRIEFING IN THAT APPEAL WILL COMMENCE IN DECEMBER 2009. TAIT V. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA IN THIS CASE, IJ REPRESENTS THREE PHILADELPHIA TOUR GUIDES IN A CHALLENGE TO A NEW CITY ORDINANCE MAKING IT ILLEGAL TO GIVE TOURS OF THE CITY WITHOUT FIRST PASSING A TEST AND OBTAINING A LICENSE-IN OTHER WORDS, MAKING IT ILLEGAL TO TALK ABOUT THE LIBERTY BELL WITHOUT FIRST GETTING THE CITY'S PERMISSION AFTER SECURING A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION IN OCTOBER 2008, WHICH HAS PREVENTED THE LAW FROM BEING ENFORCED THUS FAR, WE COMPLETED THE TRIAL ON THE MERITS ON APRIL 24, 2009. WE HAVE COMPLETED POST-TRIAL BRIEFING AND CURRENTLY AWAIT A DECISION FROM THE COURT

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
CASE UPDATE - FIRST AMENDMENT AMICUS BRIEFS		CITIZENS UNITED V FEC ON BEHALF OF IJ, WE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF CITIZENS UNITED'S CHALLENGE BEFORE THE US SUPREME COURT TO CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE BIPARTISAN CAMPAIGN REFORM ACT (BORA) SPECIFICALLY, AMONG OTHER CLAIMS, CITIZENS UNITED CHALLENGED THE REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS MANDATED BY BCRA THAT WOULD REQUIRE CITIZENS UNITED TO MAINTAIN RECORDS OF CERTAIN CONTRIBUTIONS AND DISCLOSE THOSE TO THE FEC OUR BRIEF HIGHLIGHTED OUR FINDINGS IN CAMPAIGN FINANCE RED TAPE AND ALSO DISCLOSURE COSTS AND QUOTED FROM BOTH REPORTS EXTENSIVELY SO THAT THE COURT WOULD HAVE FULL ACCESS TO OUR FINDINGS THROUGH OUR BRIEF THE SUPREME COURT HAD NOTED PROBABLE JURISDICTION IN THE CASE, WHICH WAS ESSENTIALLY FAST-TRACKED, SO OUR BRIEF IS PART OF THE MERITS STAGE OF THE APPEAL ORAL ARGUMENT WAS HELD ON MARCH 24, 2009 THE COURT'S DECISION WAS EXPECTED ON THE FINAL DAY OF THE SUPREME COURT TERM, BUT THE COURT HAS SCHEDULED THE CASE FOR REARGUMENT ON THE QUESTIONS OF WHETHER, TO REACH ITS DECISION, THE COURT SHOULD OVERTURN AUSTIN V MICHIGAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, 494 U S 652 (2000) (HOLDING THAT CORPORATIONS COULD BE PROHIBITED FROM MAKING INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES TO INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF ELECTIONS), OR STRIKE DOWN THE ELECTIONEGROMMUNICATIONS PROVISIONS OF BCRA WE WILL SUBMIT AN AMICUS BRIEF ON THIS ISSUE, WHICH IS DUE ON JULY 31, 2009 DUKE V LEAKE ON BEHALF OF OUR CLIENTS IN THE ARZONA CLEAN ELECTIONS CASE, WE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED BY A NORTH CAROLINA CANDIDATE CHALLENGING THAT STATES "CLEAN ELECTIONS" SYSTEM FOR JUDICIAL ELECTIONS THE TRIAL COURT IN THE CASE HAD GRANTED THE STATES MOTION TO DISMISS AND THE FOURTH CIRCUIT AFFIRMED OUR AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITION FOR CERTIORARI, VACATE THE TRIAL COURT SO DECISION, AND REMAND FOR A TRIAL ON THE MERTIS WE POINTED OUT THAT MANY OF THE CASES ADDRESSING "CLEAN ELECTIONS" SYSTEMS-INCLUDING THE ONE IN ARIZONA-HAD BEEN DECIDED ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND THAT BOTH THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND THE FEDERAL RULES OF

Explanation

BELL V PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DALE BELL JUST WANTED TO RUN HIS FAMILY BUSINESS WITH HIS SON, BUT PINAL COUNTY'S RIDICULOUS OBSESSION WITH DANCING STOOD IN THE WAY OF HIS AMERICAN DREAM DALE AND HIS SON SPENCER OPENED SAN TAN FLAT, A POPULAR COUNTRY & WESTERN RESTAURANT, IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA IN 2005 SHORTLY THEREAFTER, COUNTY OFFICIALS DUSTED OFF AN OBSCURE BAN ON OUTDOOR DANCING IN WHAT APPEARS TO BE A BLATANT ATTEMPT TO DRIVE DALE OUT OF BUSINESS SAYING CUSTOMERS CAN SWAY TO THE FAMILY-FRIENDLY ENTERTAINMENT PROVIDED ON

Return

Reference

Identifier

CASE UPDATE - ECONOMIC LIBERTY		WEEKNDS, BUT NOT MOVE THEIR FEET, IS RIGHTFULLY MAKING PINAL, COUNTY A NATIONAL LAUGHINSTOCK THREATENING DALE WITH OVER TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IN FINES AND FORCING HIM TO BE THE DANCE POLICE. THE COUNTY BECAME A POSTER CHILD OF OUTRACEOUS GOVERNMENT ABUSE THE CONSTITUTION PROTECTS DALES RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING FREE FROM UNREASONABLE GOVERNMENT REGULATION AND THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE SUCCESSIFULLY ASKED THE COURTS TO PROTECT THESE RICHTS PINAL COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE WILL AM ONEL RULED IN OUR FAVOR, FINDING THAT SAN TAN PLAT IS NOT A DANCE HALL AND THE COUNTY. THEREFORE ERRED IN ITS INTERPRETATION OF THE ORDINANCE AT ISSUE THE JUDGE ORDERED US TO PREPARE AND FILE PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL JUDGE ADDITED OUR PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL JUDGE ADDITED OUR PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL JUDGE ADDITED OUR PROPOSED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL JUDGE ADDITED OUR PROPOSED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS THE COUNTY REFUNDED DALES FINE MONEY AND DECLINED TO APPEAL, THUS OFFICIALLY ENDING THE SUBMER OF 2008 BHANDARIV NILLSESTUEN IN THIS CASE, US SERVS TO VINDICATE THE RICHTS OF RAJ BHANDARI, A GAS STATION OWNER IN MERRILL, WISCONSIN, WHO FOUND HIMSELF FACING POSSIBLY THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN FINES FOR THE CRIME OF OFFERING DISCOUNTS ON GASOLINE. A VIOLATION OF THE STATE SIMMBUM MARKYUL PLAW, WHICH REQUIRES THAT RETALL GASOLINE BE SOLID FOR AT LEAST 9 18% OVER THE LOCAL AVERAGE WHOLESALE COST ON JANUARY 21, 2009, THE TRIAL COURT ISSUED ITS OPPOIND REJECTING ALL OF OUR CLAIMS UNDER THE RATIONAL-BASIS EST SOON THEREAFTER, HOWEVER, A FEDERAL TRIAL COURT ISSUED ITS OUR CLAIMS UNDER THE RATIONAL-BASIS EST SOON THEREAFTER, HOWEVER, A FEDERAL TRIAL COURT ISSUED A VERY WELL-REASONED OPNION BUJONING THE STATE FROM ENFORCING THE RELEVANT PROVISIONS OF THE LAW ON TEDERAL ANTITRUST GROUNDS. WE HAVE FILED AN APPEAL OF THE STATE SHAPE AND THE STATES AND A STATE BOARD OF VETERNARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS AND A PREPALL FILE OF OUR STATE T
	T	
ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
		CLEMENS V MARY LAND STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS IN JUNE 2008 THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE FILED SUIT ON BEHALF OF MERCEDES CLEMENS, A MARY LAND RESIDENT WHO PRACTICES MASSAGE ON BOTH HUMANS AND ANIMALS IN FEBRUARY 2008, CLEMENS WAS CONTACTED BY THE MARY LAND BOARD

OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS-THE BOARD THAT LICENSES MASSAGE THERAPISTS WHO WORK ON HUMANS-AND THE MARY LAND STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS ALTHOUGH CLEMENS HAS SPECIALIZED TRAINING IN ANIMAL MASSAGE AND IS A LICENSED MASSAGE THERAPIST, THESE BOARDS CONSIDER ANIMAL MASSAGE TO BE "THE PRACTICE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE" UNLESS CLEMENS RESTRICTS HER PRACTICE TO MASSAGING HUMANS, THEY HAVE THREATENED TO REVOKE HER MASSAGE-THERAPIST LICENSE AND CHARGE HER WITH THE UNLICENSED PRACTICE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE, WHICH COULD SUBJECT HER TO THOUSAND OF DOLLARS IN FINES A LETTER FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE VETERINARY BOARD EVEN THREATENED NON-VETERINARIANS WHO PRACTICE ANIMAL MASSAGE WITH CRIMINAL PROSECUTION ANIMAL MASSAGE IS SAFE AND INVOLVES NO INVASIVE PROCEDURES OR MEDICATION THE HANDS-ON TRAINING REQUIRED TO LEARN THE OCCUPATION CAN BE ACQUIRED IN A SHORT TIME AT ONE OF THE MANY PRIVATE SCHOOLS THAT TEACH ANIMAL MASSAGE, WITHOUT ATTENDING FOUR YEARS OF VETERINARY SCHOOL AND TAKING APPROXIMATELY ON \$150,000 IN EDUCATIONAL DEBT OUR SUIT CHALLENGES THESE REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE DUE PROCESS, EQUAL PROTECTION, AND ANTI-MONOPOLY PROVISIONS OF THE MARY LAND CONSTITUTION AT ORAL ARGUMENT ON MAY 5, 2009, THE TRIAL JUDGE STRONGLY INDICATED THAT THE BOARD SHOULD RESCIND THE OUTSTANDING CEASE-AND-DESIST ORDER, AND HELD THE HEARING OPEN UNTIL JUNE 2 TO GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO THE BOARD INDICATED THAT THEY WOULD RESCIND THE ORDER AT THEIR NEXT MEETING ON MAY 14, BUT FAILED TO DO SO SUBSEQUENTLY, WE FILED A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THE NEXT DAY, THE CHIROPRACTIC BOARD RESCINDED THE CEASE-AND-DESIST ORDER AND FILED A THIRD MOTION TO DISMISS ORAL ARGUMENT ON ALL OUTSTANDING MOTIONS IS SCHEDULED FOR JULY 30 LOCKE V SHORE THIS IS A CHALLENGE TO A FLORIDA LAW THAT REQUIRES A LICENSE TO PRACTICE

COMMERCIAL INTERIOR DESIGN AND FORBIDS ALL NONLICENSEES-EVEN PEOPLE WHO ARE LEGALLY

PERFORMING RESIDENTIAL INTERIOR DESIGN SERVICES WITHOUT A LICENSE-FROM USING THE TERMS "INTERIOR DESIGN," "INTERIOR DESIGNER," AND OTHER UNSPECIFIED "WORDS TO THAT EFFECT" IT IS BY FAR THE MOST AGGRESSIVELY ENFORCED INTERIOR DESIGN LAW IN THE COUNTRY, WHICH MAY BE DUE IN PART TO THE STATE'S DECISION TO OUTSOURCE ENFORCEMENT OF THE LAW TO A PRIVATE LAW FIRM IN TALLAHASSEE, WHICH SENDS OUT LITERALLY HUNDREDS OF CEASE-AND-DESIST LETTERS EVERY YEAR, USUALLY FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE LAW'S "TITLE" PROVISION, IE, USING TERMS LIKE "INTERIOR DESIGN" WITHOUT A LICENSE WE REPRESENT THREE INDIVIDUAL INTERIOR DESIGNERS AS WELL AS THE NATIONAL FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS, MANY OF WHOSE MEMBERS ARE THREATENED BY THE LAW BECAUSE THEY PERFORM VARIOUS SERVICES THAT ARE TECHNICALLY DEFINED AS "INTERIOR DESIGN" (AND THUS OFF LIMITS TO ANYONE BUT STATE-LICENSED INTERIOR DESIGNERS), EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE NOT WHAT MOST PEOPLE THINK OF AS INTERIOR DESIGN-FOR EXAMPLE, DRAWING A DIAGRAM TO SHOW WHERE PRODUCT DISPLAY RACKS SHOULD BE LOCATED IN A RETAIL STORE OUR COMPLAINT INCLUDES FIRST AMENDMENT FREE SPEECH, DORMANT COMMERCE CLAUSE, AND PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS CLAIMS, ALONG WITH THE STANDARD IJ ECONOMIC LIBERTY SUITE UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT WE EXPECT TO FILE A MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY

INJUNCTION REGARDING THE "TITLE" ASPECT OF THE LAW IN JULY NO ORDERS OR DEADLINES HAVE YET BEEN

ENTERED IN THE CASE

		ENTERED IN THE CASE
ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Identifier		MITZ V TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS INDEPENDENT AND SELF-RELIANT TEXANS HAVE BEEN TAKING CARE OF THEIR HORSES FOR A LONG TIME WITHOUT UNNECESSARY GOVERNMENT MEDICING SEIT BUREAUCRATS IN AUSTIN HAVE CONCOCTED A MONOPOLISTIC LICENSING SCHEME TO PROTICET A CARTEL OF VETERINARY SHAT PLYST TEXAS ENTIREPRENEURS OUT OF WORK WHILE FORCING HORSE OWNERS TO PAY MORE FOR LOWER-QUALITY CARE THE TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS IS DEMANDING THAT TEXAS EQUINE DENTAL PRACTITIONERS SPEND UP TO S100,000 AND FOUL PAYABRS AT VETERINARY SCHOOL, WHERE THEY LEARN NEXT TO NOTHING ABOUT CARING FOR HORSES' TEETH, OR ELSE ABANDON THEIR PROFESSION. THIS BLATANTLY AND THE REGULATION SERVES THE SOLE PURPOSE OF MAXIMIZING THE INCOMES OF LARGELY UNTRAINED, UNQUALIFIED, ILL-EQUIPPED VETERINARY AND THIS SOLE PURPOSE OF HORSE OWNERS AND TEXAS ENTREPRENEURS HORSES' TEETH GROW CONSTANTLY AND THUS OCCASIONALLY NEED TO BE FILED OR "FLOATED"-AN IMPORTANT BUT PAINLESS PROCEDURE HORSE TOOTH CARE REQUIRES SKILL, EXPRENEICE, AND HORSEMAISH PLANCES FEETH GROW CONSTANTLY AND THUS SOCA SIONALLY NEED TO BE FILED OR "FLOATED"-AN IMPORTANT BUT PAINLESS PROCEDURE HORSE TOOTH CARE REQUIRES SKILL, EXPRENEICE, AND HORSEMAISH PLANCES FILED CONFERD FOR THE SCHOOL IN AUGUST 2007 WE FILED SUIT IN TRAVIS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT IN A USTIN ON BEHALF OF EQUINE DENTAL PRACTITIONERS AND TEXAS HORSE COWNERS, CHALLENARY THE LICENSING SCHEME AS A VIOLATION OF TEXAS LAW AND THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION THE STATE ASKED THE COURT ETHER TO DISMISS THE CASE OUTRIGHT ON A BATE THE CASE IN FAVOR OF A PARALLE, ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING AT THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDING AT THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDING AT THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDING AT THE STATE SMOTION ON MAY 6, 2009, THE STATE FILED A PETITION FOR REVIEW TO THE DISTRICT COURT THE STATE SMOTION ON MINISTRATIVE PROCEDING SOLD FOR A PROCEDING AND THE STATES MOTION ON MAY 6, 2009, THE STATE FILED A PETITION FOR REVIEW TO THE BRANCH STATE SHORED THE STATE SMOTION
		LICENSE, OR IF YOU HAVE YOUR COMPUTER REPAIRED BY SOMEONE WITHOUT A LICENSE, YOU CAN BE PUNISHED BY A \$4,000 FINE AND ONE YEAR IN JAIL AS WELL AS A \$10,000 CIVIL PENALTY CONSUMERS WHO USE UNLICENSED COMPANIES ALSO VIOLATE THE LAW TO OBTAIN AN INVESTIGATOR'S LICENSE, AN INDIVIDUAL MUST COMPLETE EITHER A CRIMINAL JUSTICE DEGREE OR A THREE-YEAR APPRENTICESHIP UNDER A LICENSED INVESTIGATOR THEREFORE, COMPUTER REPAIR COMPANIES MUST EITHER CLOSE FOR THREE YEARS TO
		COMPLETE THE APPRENTICESHIP, OR RISK SEVERE CRIMINAL AND CIVIL SANCTIONS IF THEY CONTINUE WORKING WE FILED SUIT IN JUNE 2008 UNDER THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION, ARGUING THAT THE LAW VIOLATES TEXANS' ECONOMIC DUE PROCESS RIGHTS AND THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION WE ALSO ARGUE THAT THE LAW, BY PROHIBITING THE ACT OF TELLING A CUSTOMER WHAT HAPPENED TO HIS OR HER COMPUTER, VIOLATES THE STATE CONSTITUTION'S GUARANTEE THAT TEXANS ARE "AT LIBERTY TO SPEAK, WRITE OR PUBLISH [THEIR] OPINIONS ON ANY SUBJECT[]" RIFE HAS MOVED FORWARD THROUGH TWO ROUNDS OF DISCOVERY THE CASE WAS DELAYED BY A PROMISED LEGISLATIVE FIX THAT NEVER
		MATERIALIZED WE HAVE THEREFORE BEEN PROCEEDING WITH DISCOVERY RINEHART V WEATHERLY THIS WAS OUR THIRD CHALLENGE TO A "PURE TITLE ACT"-A LAW THAT ALLOWS ANY ONE TO PERFORM INTERIOR DESIGN SERVICES, BUT REQUIRES REGISTRATION WITH THE STATE IN ORDER TO CALL YOURSELF AN "INTERIOR DESIGNER" OR USE THE TERM "INTERIOR DESIGN" TO DESCRIBE YOUR SERVICES OKLAHOMA'S LAW WAS THE MOST RECENTLY PASSED-IN 2006-AND SIMILAR TO NEW MEXICO IN THE SPEED WITH WHICH THE STATE CHOSE TO AMEND THE LAW RATHER THAN FIGHT IJ IN COURT WE FILED THE CASE IN SEPTEMBER 2008 AND WERE ABLE TO AGREE TO A STRONG PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION FOR PURPOSES OF STAYING THE CASE PENDING LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITY FOR EXAMPLE, THE STATE HAS AGREED THAT NO ONE SERVING ON OR AFFILIATED

WITH THE INTERIOR DESIGN BOARD MAY SUPPORT OR PURSUE LEGISLATION TO IMPLEMENT A "PRACTICE ACT"-WHERE A LICENSE WOULD BE REQUIRED TO WORK-UNTIL AFTER 2010 THE GOVERNOR SIGNED INTO LAW IN MAY 2009 A BILL MOOTING OUR CASE, AND WE HAVE FILED A STIPULATED DISMISSAL, THUS ENDING THE CASE

BUT REQUIRE A LICENSE TO USE THE TERMS "INTERIOR DESIGN" OR "INTERIOR DESIGNER" IN EVERY OTHER CASE-NEW MEXICO, TEXAS, AND OKLAHOMA-THE STATE RESPONDED BY PASSING LEGISLATION TO AMEN CHALLENGED LAW AND MOOT THE CASE BY CHANGING THE REGULATED TERM FROM "INTERIOR DESIGNER "REGISTERED INTERIOR DESIGNER" CONNECTICUT BELATEDLY TRIED TO DO THE SAME THING IN RESPONSE THIS CASE, BUT THE LEGISLATURE ENDED UP DRAGGING ITS FEET FOR TOO LONG, AND THE JUDGE ISSUED RULING ON JUNE 30, 2009 THE RULING DECLARED THE CHALLENGED LAW UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND PERMANENTLY ENJOINED THE STATE FROM ENFORCING IT-A TOTAL WIN FOR OUR CLIENTS SUMMER'S BES	Identifier Reference	Explanation
AND NATURAL RESOURCES, THE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF STATE PARKS, AND THE SUPERINTENDENT OHIOPYLE STATE PARK, CHALLENGING THE DEPARTMENT'S ARBITRARY DECISION TO REVOKE A NON-PRO SUMMER CAMPS EXPLICIT, WRITTEN PERMISSION TO RAFT AS A PRIVATE BOATER ON THE LOWER YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER FOR MORE THAN THIRTY ACCIDENT-FREE YEARS THE CAMP HAD BEEN PURSUING ANNUAL TRIPS JUST LIKE ANY OTHER PRIVATE BOATERS WOULD BE ALLOWED TO DO, BUT THE DEPARTM BEGAN TO DEMAND THAT THE CAMP MUST USE ONE OF OHIOPYLE STATE PARK'S LICENSED COMMERCIAL OUTFITTERS RATHER THAN LEAD ITS OWN TRIPS ACCORDING TO ITS CUSTOM ON JULY 28, 2008, THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA RULED AGAINST OUR CLIENT, SUMMER'S BEST TWO WEEKS, SUIT AGAINST THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES SWEDENBURG, ET AL V KELLY, ET AL WE FINALLY REACHED A SETTLEMENT OF FEES IN THIS CASE AND ENTERED A STIPULATED DISMISSAL IN DECEMBER 2008 THE CASE IS NOW CLOSED SWIFT AND WILKINSON CLARKSVILLE PROPERTY RIGHTS COALITION IN CLARKSVILLE, TENNESSEE, A GROUP OF LOCAL ACTIVISTS IN THEIR LOCAL NEWSPAPER AN ADVERTISEMENT IN WHICH THEY CRITICIZED LOCAL POLITICIANS AND DEVELOPERS FOR SUPPORTING A REDEV BLOPMENT PLAN INVOLVING EMINENT DOMAIN SIX DAYS AFTER AD RAN, A CITY COUNCILOR WHO IS A DEVELOPER AND ANOTHER DEVELOPER SUED THE GROUP FOR LIBE AND ASKED FOR \$500,000 IN DAMAGES WE BELIEVE THAT THE LAWSUIT IS FRIVOLOUS AND PART OF A DISTURBING TREND OF POLITICIANS AND DEVELOPERS SUING HOME AND BUSINESS OWNERS WHO SPEAK AGAINST EMINENT DOMAIN ABUSE WE REPRESENTED THE MEMBERS OF THE GROUP AND SUCCESSFULLY MOVED TO HAVE THE CASE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE THE PLAINTIFFS DID NOT APPEAL, SO THE CASE IS CONCLUDED TYLER V CITY OF BOSTON WE HAVE WORKED WITH NAUTICAL TOURS AND ITS PRESIDENT, ERROLL TYLER, FOR A FEW YEARS WE REPRESENTED NAUTICAL TOURS, WHICH IS AN AMPHIBIOUS VEHIC TOUR COMPANY BASED IN CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSTITS, IN A SUCCESSFUL EFFORT TO GET A JITNEY LICENSE. WHICH THE CITY REFUSES TO EVEN CONSIDER GRANTING		CHALLENGES TO "PURE TITLE ACTS"-I.E., LAWS THAT ALLOW ANY ONE TO PERFORM INTERIOR DESIGN WORK, BUT REQUIRE A LICENSE TO USE THE TERMS "INTERIOR DESIGN" OR "INTERIOR DESIGNER" IN EVERY OTHER CASE-NEW MEXICO, TEXAS, AND OKLAHOMA-THE STATE RESPONDED BY PASSING LEGISLATION TO AMEND THE CHALLENGED LAW AND MOOT THE CASE BY CHANGING THE REGULATED TERM FROM "INTERIOR DESIGNER" TO "REGISTERED INTERIOR DESIGNER" CONNECTICUT BELATEDLY TRIED TO DO THE SAME THINK IN RESPONSE TO THIS CASE, BUT THE LEGISLATURE BYDOED UP PORAGGING ITS FEET FOR TOO LONG, AND THE JUDGE ISSUED A RULING ON JUNE 30, 2009 THE RULING DECLARED THE CHALLENGED LAW UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND PERMANENTLY BUIOINED THE STATE FROM ENFORCING IT-A TOTAL WIN FOR OUR CLIENTS SUMMER'S BEST TWO WEEKS V DEPT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, ET AL. ON APRIL 4, 2006 WE FILLED SUIT IN THE PENNSYLVANIA COMMONWEALTH COURT AGAINST THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, THE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF STATE PARKS, AND THE SUPERINTENDENT OF OHIOPYLE STATE PARK, CHALLENGING THE DEPARTMENT'S ARBITRARRY DECISION TO REVOKE A NON-PROFIT SUMMER CAMP'S EXPLICIT, WRITTEN PERMISSION TO RAFT AS A PRIVATE BOATER ON THE LOWER YOUGHIGGHENY RIVER FOR MORE THAN THIRTY ACCIDENT-FREE YEARS THE CAMP HAD BEEN PURSUNING ITS ANNUAL TRIPS JUST LIKE ANY OTHER PRIVATE BOATERS WOULD BE ALLOWED TO DO, BUT THE DEPARTMENT BEGAN TO DEMAND THAT THE CAMP MUST USE ONE OF OHIOPYLE STATE PARK'S LICENSED COMMERCIAL OUTFITTERS RATHER THAN LEAD ITS OWN TRIPS ACCORDING TO ITS CUSTOM ON JULY 28, 2008, THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA RULED AGAINST OUR CLIENT, SUMMER'S BEST TWO WEEKS, IN ITS SUIT AGAINST THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES SWEDENBURG, ET AL. V. KELLY, ET AL. WE FINALLY REACHED A SETTLEMENT OF FEES IN THIS CASE AND ENTERED AS TIPULATED DISMISSAL IN DECEMBER 2008. THE CASE IS NOW CLOSED SWIFT AND WILKINSON V. CLARKSYLLE PROPERTY RIGHTS COALITION IN CLARKSYLLE TENNESSEE, A GROUP OF LOCAL ACTIVISTS RAN IN THEIR LOCAL NEWSPAPER AN ADVERTIS

Explanation

UNION TAXI COOPERATIVE ET AL THE COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION IS ONCE AGAIN CONSIDERING ISSUING SEVERAL NEW TAXICAB COMPANY LICENSES IN THE DENVER METRO AREA AFTER LEGISLATIVE

Return

Reference

Identifier

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
CASE UPDATE - PROPERTY RIGHTS		BRODY V. PORT CHESTER N. JUNE 2009 WENEGOTATED A FAVORABLE SETTLEMENT WITH THE V. LLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, NEW YORK, N. THE WAKE OF OUR 2009 FEDERAL COURT VICTORY BY LOCAL BUSINESSMAN WILLAM REPOY BRODY AND HAVE BEEN BY AND AGED NA. A NEW PARK RIMINET DOWN IN STRUCK WITH THE VILLAGE OF PORT OF THE WAY SET SENDEN BY AND

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Identifier		MCCAUGHTRY V CITY OF RED WING (FORMERLY STEWART, ET AL V CITY OF RED WING) THE CITY OF RED WING, MINNESOTA, IS ENFORCING A RENTAL PROPERTY INSPECTION LAW THAT REQUIRES LANDLORDS TO OPEN THER TENANTS DOORS WITHOUT PERMISSION AND SUBMIT TO INSPECTIONS OF THEE RIPE REPLATE PROPERTY IN ORDER FOR THE LANDLORD TO RECEIVE A RENTAL LICENSE LANDLORDS WHO RESPECT THEIR TENANTS' RIGHT TO EXCLUDE GOVERNMENT STRANGERS FROM THEIR HOMES RISK LOSING THEIR ROBIN TO RENT THEIR TENANTS' RIGHT TO EXCLUDE GOVERNMENT STRANGERS FROM THEIR HOMES RISK LOSING THEIR ROHT TO RENT THEIR PROPERTY AS A RESULT, UNDER RED WING'S REDTAL INSPECTION ORDINANCE, IT IS EASIER FOR THE PROPERTY AS A RESULT, UNDER RED WING'S REDTAL INSPECTION ORDINANCE, IT IS EASIER FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO FORCE ITS WAY INTO THE HOMES OF LAW-ABIDING CITIZENS THAN IT IS TO SEARCH THE HOME OF A SUSPECTED CRIMINAL THE U.S CONSTITUTION DOES NOT ALLOW SUCH AN ABSURDITY RED WING'S INSPECTION MANDATE IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE FILED A LAWSUIT UNDER THE U.S AND MINNESOTA CONSTITUTIONS TO STOP THE CITY WING FROM CONDUCTING OR COERCING CONSENT TO WARRANTLESS INSPECTIONS AND ALSO TO ENSURE THAT CONSTITUTIONAL STANDARDS GOVERN RESIDENTIAL INSPECTIONS ON JUJUE 20, 2009, THE PARTES APPEARED FOR A TWO-HOUR HEARING ON THE MERTINE CASE THE HEARING INCLUDED THE CITY'S THIRD APPLICATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE WARRANTS (THE FIRST TWO WERE DENDED, AS U HAD A ROLLED), AND A DECISION IS EXPECTED THIS FALL METROPOLITAM DEVELOPMENT AGENCY FILED A CONDEMNATION ACTION AGAINST A SMALL COUNTRY MUSIC RECORDING AND PUBLISHING BUSINESS LOCATED ON STORIED MUSIC ROW MAS AND ALLOWOUNT WINDS RECORDING AND PUBLISHING BUSINESS LOCATED ON STORIED MUSIC ROW MAS AND A DECISION IS EXPECTED THIS FALL METAL WILL HOUSE AN ARCHITECTURAL AND REAL ESTATE FREM JOY FORD AND HER LATE HUSBAND, SHERMAN FORD FOUNDED TO HUT BY A GENERIC OFFICE BUILDING THAT WILL HOUSE AN ARCHITECTURAL AND REAL ESTATE FREM JOY FORD AND HER LATE HUSBAND, SHERMAN FORD FOUNDED TO HER PROPERTY THAT HOUSES COUNTRY INTERNATIONAL RECO
		· ·

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
CASE UPDATE - PROPERTS AMISCUS BRIEFS		BRUTSCHEV CITY OF KENT WE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF IN A WASHINSTON SUPREME COURT CASE. CONCERNING, AMONS OTHER THINDS, WHETHER DAMAGE TO AN INNOCENT THROPARTY'S PROFERTY DURING. THE EXECUTION OF A SEARCH WARRANT IS A COMPENSABLE TO AM NINOCENT THROPARTY'S PROFERTY UNDER ARTICLE. I, SECTION 16 OF THE WASHINSTON CONSTITUTION THE CITY OF KENT SENT A SWAT TEAM TO THE RROPERTY OF LED BRUTSCHES ONDS TO EXECUTE A WARRANT CONCERNING ME BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG ACTIVITY WHEN THE SWAT TEAM ARRIVED, MR BRUTSCHES CONCERNING ME BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG ACTIVITY WHEN THE SWAT TEAM ARRIVED, MR BRUTSCHES CONCERNING ME BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG ACTIVITY WHEN THE SWAT TEAM ARRIVED, MR BRUTSCHES CONCERNING ME BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG ACTIVITY WHEN THE SWAT TEAM ARRIVED, MR BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG ACTIVITY WHEN THE SWAT TEAM ARRIVED, MR BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG ACTIVITY WHEN THE SWAT TEAM ARRIVED, MR BRUTSCHES SONS ALLEGED DRUG EXTENSIVE DAMAGE TO THE DOORS AND WINDOWS NO EVERNIC WAS FOUND AND NO CHARGES WERE EVER BROUGHT MR BRUTSCHES SOUGHT COMPRESSATION FOR THE RROPERTY DAMAGE WHICH HE CITY RESPONS TO THE TRAIL COURT AND COURT OF A PEPSALS THE WASHINGTON STRAINS SIDAMAGE WICH THE CITY RESPASS, AND JUST COMPRISATION UNDER THE WASHINGTON SUFFERING COURT ACCEPTED REVIEW, AT WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED AN AMOUS BREF ON THE COMPRISABLE DAMAGING SIDE US STATE ATTORNEY WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED AN AMOUS BREF ON THE COMPRISABLE DAMAGING ISSUE US TATE ATTORNEY WHO THE THAIL COURT AND COURT TO FAPEALS. THE WASHINGTON SUFFERING COURT ACCEPTED REVIEW, AT WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED AN AMOUS BREF ON THE COMPRISABLE DAMAGING ISSUE US STATE ATTORNEY WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED AN AMOUS BREF ON THE COMPRISABLE DAMAGING ISSUE US THAT ATTORNEY WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED AN AMOUS BREF ON THE COMPRISABLE DAMAGING ISSUE US THAT A TORNEY WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED AN AMOUS BREF ON THE COMPRISABLE DAMAGING ISSUE US THAT THORNEY WHICH BROTS AND THE WASHINGTON CONSTITUTION OF THE ATTORNEY WHICH POINT WE SUBMITTED THE TARROWS AND THE COURT THAT THE TORY

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
	Reference	IN THE MATTER OF OPENING A PRIVATE ROAD IN JUNE 2009, THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF AT THE PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME COURT ARQUING THAT PENNSYLVANIA'S PRIVATE ROAD ACT VIOLATES THE PENNSYLVANIA CONSTITUTION AND THE U.S. CONSTITUTION THE CASE INVOLVED AN INDIVIDUAL WHO SOUGHT TO CONDEMN A ROAD ACROSS THE PROPERTY OF A NUMBER OF OTHER PEOPLE. THE APPELLATE COURT UPHELD THE TAKINGS AND RULED THAT ROADS WERE AN EXCEPTION, NOT SUBJECT TO THE SAME PUBLIC USE ANALYSIS AS OTHER TAKINGS. US BRIEF MENTIONED CASES DECIDED ARQUIND THE COUNTRY IN THE LAST FEW YEARS, SHOWING THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS IS THE SAME WHETHER THE TAKINGS IS FOR A SHOPPING MALL OR ROADS. IT ALSO EXPLAINED HOW PRIVATE ROADS ARE DIFFERENT THAN PUBLIC ROADS. HE BRIEF THEN SECIPICALLY DISCUSSED OTHER CASES INVOLVING RIVATE THAT TAKING IS FOR A SHOPPING MALL OR ROADS. IT ALSO EXPLAINED HOW PRIVATE ROADS ARE DIFFERENT THAN PUBLIC ROADS. HE BRIEF THEN SECIPICALLY DISCUSSED OTHER PROPES IS SINCLY INTO RIVATE COUNTY. ON CASES OUTSIDE OF PENNSYLVANIA, IN ORDER TO INFORM THE PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME COUNT HOW OTHER STATES WERE TREATNO ISSUES SIMILAR TO THE ONE IT WAS CONSIDERING LAWRENCE COUNTY V. HAMITON WE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF IN THIS CASE CONCERNING THE PROPER STATE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS ON THE POWER OF EMINENT DOMAIN AND HOW THOSE CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE BORNE IN MIND WHEN INTERFETING REDEVLOPMENT STATUTES EVEN ASSENT A TAKING THE FACTS ARE SIMPLE LAWRENCE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, DECLARED UNDEVLOPED RURAL PROPERTY "BLUCHETE" SECAUSE IT COULD BE PUT TO A HIGHER USE AS A HIGH-TECH INDUSTRIAL PARK THE KEY QUESTION WAS WHETHER THE STATE BLIGHT STATUTES PERMITTED HARMLESS RURAL LAND IN ITS NATURAL STATE TO BE DECLARED "BLIGHTED" UNDER AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THEORY OUT BRIEF ARGUED TWO BROAD POINTS FIRST, THAT A PLAN LANGUAGE INTERFEITATION OF PENNSYLVANIA BLIGHT STATUTES DID NOT ALLOW HARMLESS RURAL PROPERTY TO BE ECILARED BLIGHT STATUTES OF CHARLESS RURAL TRAINS THE COUNTY OF SENSE OF THE SOLID CONSENSUS, BOTH PRE-AND POST-KELD, THAT ST
		INTENDED TO PROTECT CERTAIN NATURAL RIGHTS (INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO ARMED SELF-DEFENSE, THE RIGHT TO CONTRACT, AND THE RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING IN THE OCCUPATION OF ONE'S CHOICE) THE 7TH CIRCUIT HELD THAT IT WAS BOUND BY EARLIER, ADMITTEDLY OUTDATED, SUPREME COURT PRECEDENTS HOLDING THAT THE 14TH AMENDMENT DID NOT RESTRAIN STATE REGULATION OF FIREARMS IN ANY WAY ALL THREE CASES ARE CURRENTLY BEFORE THE US SUPREME COURT ON A PETITION FOR CERTIORARI, IJ HAS FILED A SIMILAR AMICUS BRIEF URGING THE COURT TO GRANT CERT

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
CASE UPDATE - MISCELLA NEOUS		DUPUY V SAMUELS SINCE 1995, ILLINOIS CHILD-WELFARE AGENCIES HAVE COMPELLED OVER 70,000 PARENTS TO MOVE OUT OF THEIR HOMES BASED ONLY ON UNSUBSTANTIATED, UNINVESTICATED ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE THE STATE COERCES PARENTS TO AGREE TO "SAFETY PLANS" BY THREATENING TO PLACE THE CHILD IN FOSTER CARE UNLESS THE PARENT" "VOLUNTARILY" AGREES BUT THE STATE THEN DEDINES THE PARENTS ANY OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE THE BASIS FOR THE SAFETY PLAN (WHICH THE PARENTS WOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO IF THE PLAN WAS NOT "VOLUNTARY") THE STATE THEN DEDINES THE PARENTS ANY OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE THE BASIS FOR THE SAFETY PLAN SLAST 30 TO 60 DAYS, SOME HAVE LASTED FOR 12 TO 18 MONTHS TELLINGLY, THE STATE COULD NOT FIND A SINGLE EXAMPLE OF A PARENT WHO HAD REFUSED A SAFETY PLAN, AS ANY GOOD PARENT WOULD DO ALMOST ANYTHING TO AVOID SENDING THEIR CHILDREN TO FOSTER CARE AFTER AN UNFAVORABLE DECISION BY THE 7TH U S CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, THE FAMILY DEFENSE CENTER IS SEEKING REVIEW OF THIS FRIGHTENING REGIME BY THE U S SUPPRIEME COURT IN MARCH 2009, WE DIONDED AN AMICUS BRIEF FILED BY THE CATO INSTITUTE ASKING THE COURT TO GRANT CERTIORARI IN ORDER TO ELUCIDATE THE STANDARD OF REVIEW APPLICABLE TO PARENTAL LIBERTIES AND TO ADVANCE THE DOCTRINE OF UNENUMERATED RIGHTS THE BRIEF AFRIGUED THAT UNENUMERATED RIGHTS BISHFRINED IN THE US CONSTITUTION INCLUDE THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT OF PARENTS TO DIRECT THE UPBRINGING AND EDUCATION OF THEIR CHILDREN AND THAT APRENTIAL LIBERTY IS BRITTLED TO STRICT-OR AT LEAST INTERMEDIATE-SCRUTTINY FURTHERMORE, THE RIGHT OF PARENTS TO DIRECT THE UPBRINGING AND EDUCATION OF THEIR CHILDREN AND THAT APRENTIAL LIBERTY IS BRITTLED TO STRICT-OR AT LEAST INTERMEDIATE-SCRUTTINY FURTHERMORE, THE BRIEF ATTACKED THE UNLIMITED DISCRETION GRANTED TO STATE BURGEAUCRATIS AS AN ABBUSE OF THE RULE OF LAW THE COURT DENIED THE PETITION TURKEN V GOODED THE ARZONA-BASED GOLDWATER INSTITUTE IS CURRENTLY CHALLENGING THE CITY ON STRICT-OR AT LEAST INTERMEDIATE. SCRUTTINY FURTHERMORE, THE RIGHT OF PARENTS TO BUILD OTTYNORTH, WHICH IS ESSENTIALLY A